arXiv:1611.02130v2 [math.QA] 1 Dec 2016

AN EMBEDDING OF THE UNIVERSAL ASKEY-WILSON ALGEBRA INTO $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

HAU-WEN HUANG

Abstract. The Askey–Wilson algebras were used to interpret the algebraic structure hidden in the Racah–Wigner coefficients of the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. In this paper, we display an injection of a universal analog $\triangle_q$ of Askey–Wilson algebras into $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ behind the application. Moreover we formulate the decomposition rules for 3-fold tensor products of irreducible Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules and of finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules into the direct sums of finite-dimensional irreducible $\triangle_q$-modules.

Keywords: Askey–Wilson algebras, Leonard pairs, Racah–Wigner coefficients.

1. Introduction

Fix a nonzero complex scalar q with $q^2 \neq 1$. The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is a unital associative algebra over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$ generated by E, $K^{\pm 1}$, F subject to

$$KK^{-1} = K^{-1}K = 1,$$
$$KE = q^2EK, \qquad KF = q^{-2}FK,$$
$$EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

The Casimir element of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ has the expression

$$\Lambda = (q - q^{-1})^2 FE + qK + q^{-1}K^{-1}$$

up to scalar multiplication. Let $\Delta : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \to U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ denote the comultiplication of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. The n-fold comultiplication $\Delta_n : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \to U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes(n+1)}$ is recurrently defined by $\Delta_0 = 1$ and

$$\Delta_n = (1^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta_{n-1} \qquad \text{for all integers } n \geq 1.$$

Consider a Hopf $*$-algebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, for instance $U_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$ with q a real number. Let V denote a 3-fold tensor product of irreducible unitary $U_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$-modules. The inner products between two coupled bases of V are called the *Racah–Wigner coefficients* of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. In fact, the two coupled bases of V are the orthonormal eigenbases of

$$K_0 = \Delta(\Lambda) \otimes 1, \qquad K_1 = 1 \otimes \Delta(\Lambda)$$

on V. Granovskiĭ and Zhedanov [2] realized that when restricting to an eigenspace of $\Delta_2(\Lambda)$ on V, there exist complex scalars ϱ, ϱ^*, η, η^*, ω such that the operators K_0, K_1 and their q-commutator

$$K_2 = qK_0K_1 - q^{-1}K_1K_0 \tag{1}$$

satisfy the relations

$$qK_1K_2 - q^{-1}K_2K_1 = \omega K_1 + \varrho K_0 + \eta^*, \tag{2}$$
$$qK_2K_0 - q^{-1}K_0K_2 = \omega K_0 + \varrho^* K_1 + \eta. \tag{3}$$

The research is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan under the project MOST 105-2115-M-008-013.

The unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by three generators, abusively denoted by K_0, K_1, K_2, subject to the relations of the forms (1)–(3) is called the *Askey–Wilson algebra* [12]. A universal analog $\triangle_q$ of Askey–Wilson algebras was recently proposed by Terwilliger [10] with $q^4 \neq 1$. The defining generators of $\triangle_q$ are usually denoted by A, B, C and the relations assert that each of

$$A + \frac{qBC - q^{-1}CB}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \qquad B + \frac{qCA - q^{-1}AC}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \qquad C + \frac{qAB - q^{-1}BA}{q^2 - q^{-2}}$$

is central in $\triangle_q$. Let α, β, γ denote the central elements of $\triangle_q$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\alpha}{q + q^{-1}} &= A + \frac{qBC - q^{-1}CB}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \\
\frac{\beta}{q + q^{-1}} &= B + \frac{qCA - q^{-1}AC}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \\
\frac{\gamma}{q + q^{-1}} &= C + \frac{qAB - q^{-1}BA}{q^2 - q^{-2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The Casimir element of $\triangle_q$ has the expression

$$\Omega = qABC + q^2A^2 + q^{-2}B^2 + q^2C^2 - qA\alpha - q^{-1}B\beta - qC\gamma.$$

Inspired by the work [2], we discover a homomorphism $\flat : \triangle_q \to U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ that sends

$$\begin{aligned}
A &\mapsto \Delta(\Lambda) \otimes 1, \\
B &\mapsto 1 \otimes \Delta(\Lambda), \\
\alpha &\mapsto \Lambda \otimes \Lambda \otimes 1 + (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Lambda) \cdot \Delta_2(\Lambda), \\
\beta &\mapsto 1 \otimes \Lambda \otimes \Lambda + (\Lambda \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta_2(\Lambda), \\
\gamma &\mapsto \Lambda \otimes 1 \otimes \Lambda + (1 \otimes \Lambda \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta_2(\Lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
C \mapsto\ & \frac{\Lambda \otimes 1 \otimes \Lambda + (1 \otimes \Lambda \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta_2(\Lambda)}{q + q^{-1}} \\
& + \frac{q^{-1}(1 \otimes \Delta(\Lambda)) \cdot (\Delta(\Lambda) \otimes 1) - q(\Delta(\Lambda) \otimes 1) \cdot (1 \otimes \Delta(\Lambda))}{q^2 - q^{-2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The image of Ω under $\flat$ is equal to

$$(q + q^{-1})^2 - \Lambda^2 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \Lambda^2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Lambda^2 - (\Lambda \otimes \Lambda \otimes \Lambda) \cdot \Delta_2(\Lambda) - \Delta_2(\Lambda)^2.$$

Moreover the homomorphism $\flat$ is shown to be injective. As a consequence, given any Hopf $*$-algebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ we show that there exists a unique algebra involution $\dagger$ of $\triangle_q$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\triangle_q & \xrightarrow{\flat} & U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \\
{\scriptstyle\dagger}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle *\otimes *\otimes *} \\
\triangle_q & \xrightarrow{\flat} & U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)
\end{array}$$

See §4 for details.

Each $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module can be considered as a $\triangle_q$-module via pulling back the injection $\flat$. The aim of §5 is to study the 3-fold tensor products of irreducible Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules and of finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules from the view of $\triangle_q$-modules. The

$\triangle_q$-modules are completely reducible. Furthermore the work [5] allows us to give the decomposition rules for these $\triangle_q$-modules into the direct sums of finite-dimensional irreducible $\triangle_q$-modules. As a consequence, on each irreducible component A and B form a Leonard pair [9,11]. The preliminaries are contained in §2 and §3.

It is worthwhile to remark that the paper [3] produces a similar work on an algebraic structure behind the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

2. The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and its modules

The conventions for this paper are as follows. An algebra is meant to be a unital associative algebra. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ denote the ring of integers. Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of nonnegative integers and $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $\mathbb{F}$ denote a field and fix a nonzero scalar $q \in \mathbb{F}$ with $q^4 \neq 1$. Recall that the q-brackets are defined as

$$[n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \qquad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and the q-binomial coefficients are defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{h=1}^{i} \frac{[n-h+1]}{[h]} \qquad \text{for all } i, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The unadorned tensor products $\otimes$ are taken over $\mathbb{F}$. Given an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ the n-fold tensor product $A^{\otimes n}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is recurrently defined by

$$\mathcal{A}^{\otimes 0} = \mathbb{F}, \qquad \mathcal{A}^{\otimes n} = \mathcal{A}^{\otimes (n-1)} \otimes \mathcal{A} \qquad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Here $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes 1}$ is identified with $\mathcal{A}$ via the canonical map. Given an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra homomorphism ϕ the n-fold tensor product $\phi^{\otimes n}$ of ϕ is defined in a similar way: Set $\phi^{\otimes 0}$ to be the identity map on $\mathbb{F}$ and $\phi^{\otimes n} = \phi^{\otimes (n-1)} \otimes \phi$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

2.1. **The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.** The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra generated by E, $K^{\pm 1}$, F subject to the relations

$$KK^{-1} = K^{-1}K = 1,$$
$$KE = q^2 EK, \qquad KF = q^{-2} FK,$$
$$EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Recall from [6, Theorem 1.5] that

Lemma 2.1. *The elements*

$$F^i K^h E^j \qquad \text{for all } h \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } i, j \in \mathbb{N}$$

are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let U_n denote the $\mathbb{F}$-subspace of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ spanned by

$$F^i K^h E^j \qquad \text{for all } h \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } i, j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } j - i = n.$$

By [6, §1.9] the $\mathbb{F}$-subspaces $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ give a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded structure of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. In other words we have

(G1) $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} U_n$.
(G2) $U_m \cdot U_n \subseteq U_{m+n}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By [6, Lemma 2.7] the element

$$EF + \frac{q^{-1}K + qK^{-1}}{(q-q^{-1})^2} = FE + \frac{qK + q^{-1}K^{-1}}{(q-q^{-1})^2}$$

is central in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. The central element is called the *Casimir element* of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Multiplying the Casimir element by $(q-q^{-1})^2$ we obtain the element

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= (q-q^{-1})^2 EF + q^{-1}K + qK^{-1} \\ &= (q-q^{-1})^2 FE + qK + q^{-1}K^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Throughout this paper we use the normalized Casimir element Λ instead of the original Casimir element of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Lemma 2.2. *For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the following relations* (i), (ii) *hold in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$:*

(i) $E^i F^i = \prod_{h=1}^{i} \dfrac{\Lambda - q^{1-2h}K - q^{2h-1}K^{-1}}{(q-q^{-1})^2}$.

(ii) $F^i E^i = \prod_{h=1}^{i} \dfrac{\Lambda - q^{2h-1}K - q^{1-2h}K^{-1}}{(q-q^{-1})^2}$.

Proof. Proceed by inductions on i and apply (4). □

Lemma 2.3. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the following* (i), (ii) *hold:*

(i) *U_n has the $\mathbb{F}$-basis*

$$\Lambda^i K^h E^n \qquad h \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(ii) *U_{-n} has the $\mathbb{F}$-basis*

$$\Lambda^i K^h F^n \qquad h \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Fix an $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 2.1 the elements

$$F^i K^h E^{i+n} \qquad \text{for all } h \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{N}$$

are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of U_n. For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ let $U_{n,\ell}$ denote the $\mathbb{F}$-subspace of U_n spanned by $F^i K^h E^{i+n}$ for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \le i \le \ell$. Clearly

$$U_n = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} U_{n,\ell}. \tag{5}$$

We claim that the elements

$$\Lambda^i K^h E^n \qquad \text{for all } h \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } 0 \le i \le \ell$$

form an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $U_{n,\ell}$. To see this we proceed by induction on ℓ. It is nothing to prove for $\ell = 0$. Suppose that $\ell \ge 1$. By construction $U_{n,\ell}/U_{n,\ell-1}$ has the $\mathbb{F}$-basis

$$F^\ell K^h E^{\ell+n} + U_{n,\ell-1} \qquad \text{for all } h \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For each $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$F^\ell K^h E^{\ell+n} = q^{2h\ell} K^h F^\ell E^{\ell+n} = q^{2h\ell}(q-q^{-1})^{-2\ell} \Lambda^\ell K^h E^n \pmod{U_{n,\ell-1}}.$$

The first equality follows from the defining relation $KF = q^{-2}FK$ and the second equality follows from Lemma 2.2(ii) and the induction hypothesis. Therefore the elements

$$\Lambda^\ell K^h E^n + U_{n,\ell-1} \qquad \text{for all } h \in \mathbb{Z}$$

are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $U_{n,\ell}/U_{n,\ell-1}$. Combined with the induction hypothesis the claim follows. Now (i) follows from the claim and (5). Statement (ii) follows by a similar argument. □

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. By (G1) and (G2) the $\mathbb{F}$-vector spaces

$$U_{n_1} \otimes U_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{n_k} \qquad \text{for all } n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

provide a $\mathbb{Z}^k$-graded structure of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes k}$. Thus, for each $u \in U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes k}$ there exist unique elements $u_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k} \in U_{n_1} \otimes U_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{n_k}$ for all $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$u = \sum_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k \in \mathbb{Z}} u_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k}.$$

Here $u_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k}$ is called the *homogeneous component of u of degree* $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)$.

Lemma 2.4. *For all $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the elements*

$$\Lambda^{i_1} K^{h_1} X_1^{n_1} \otimes \Lambda^{i_2} K^{h_2} X_2^{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{i_k} K^{h_k} X_k^{n_k} \qquad i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $U_{n_1} \otimes U_{n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{n_k}$ where $X_i = E$ if $n_i \geq 0$ and $X_i = F$ if $n_i < 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 2.3. □

2.2. **The Hopf algebra structure of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.** Recall that the algebras and algebra homomorphisms can be defined in the following ways: An $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $\mathcal{A}$ endowed with two $\mathbb{F}$-linear maps $\nabla : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ and $\iota : \mathbb{F} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called an $\mathbb{F}$-*algebra* if

$$\nabla \circ (\nabla \otimes 1) = \nabla \circ (1 \otimes \nabla)$$

and $\nabla \circ (1 \otimes \iota)$ and $\nabla \circ (\iota \otimes 1)$ are equal to the canonical maps $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{F} \to \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$, respectively. Here ∇ and ι are called the *multiplication* and the *unit* of an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ respectively. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are two $\mathbb{F}$-algebras. Let ∇, ∇' denote the multiplications of $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}'$ and let ι, ι' denote the units of $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}'$ respectively. An $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is called an $\mathbb{F}$-*algebra homomorphism* if

$$\nabla' \circ (\phi \otimes \phi) = \phi \circ \nabla,$$
$$\iota' = \phi \circ \iota.$$

The coalgebras and coalgebra homomorphisms are defined in dual ways: An $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $\mathcal{A}$ endowed with two $\mathbb{F}$-linear maps $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ and $\epsilon : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{F}$ is called an $\mathbb{F}$-*coalgebra* if

$$(\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta = (1 \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta \tag{6}$$

and $(1 \otimes \epsilon) \circ \Delta$ and $(\epsilon \otimes 1) \circ \Delta$ are equal to the canonical maps $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{F}$ and $\mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{F} \otimes \mathcal{A}$, respectively. Here Δ and ϵ are called the *comultiplication* and the *counit* of an $\mathbb{F}$-coalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ respectively. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are two $\mathbb{F}$-coalgebras. Let Δ, Δ' denote the comultiplications of $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}'$ and let ϵ, ϵ' denote the counits of $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}'$ respectively. An $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is called an $\mathbb{F}$-*coalgebra homomorphism* if

$$(\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \Delta = \Delta' \circ \phi, \tag{7}$$
$$\epsilon = \epsilon' \circ \phi.$$

An $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $\mathcal{A}$ is called an $\mathbb{F}$-*bialgebra* if $\mathcal{A}$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra and an $\mathbb{F}$-coalgebra such that the multiplication and the unit of $\mathcal{A}$ are $\mathbb{F}$-coalgebra homomorphisms or equivalently the comultiplication and the counit of $\mathcal{A}$ are $\mathbb{F}$-algebra homomorphisms. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are two $\mathbb{F}$-bialgebras. An $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is called an $\mathbb{F}$-*bialgebra homomorphism* if ϕ is an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra homomorphism and an $\mathbb{F}$-coalgebra homomorphim. An $\mathbb{F}$-bialgebra $\mathcal{A}$ endowed with an $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $S : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called an $\mathbb{F}$-*Hopf algebra* if the multiplication ∇, the unit ι, the comultiplication Δ and the counit ϵ of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfy

$$\nabla \circ (1 \otimes S) \circ \Delta = \iota \circ \epsilon = \nabla \circ (S \otimes 1) \circ \Delta.$$

Here S is called the *antipode* of an $\mathbb{F}$-Hopf algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are two $\mathbb{F}$-Hopf algebras. Let S, S' denote the antipodes of $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}'$ respectively. An $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is called an $\mathbb{F}$-*Hopf algebra homomorphism* if ϕ is an $\mathbb{F}$-bialgebra homomorphism satisfying

$$\phi \circ S = S' \circ \phi.$$

The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ admits the Hopf algebra structure: The comultiplication $\Delta : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \to U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra homomorphism defined by

$$\Delta(E) = E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E, \tag{8}$$

$$\Delta(F) = F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F, \tag{9}$$

$$\Delta(K) = K \otimes K. \tag{10}$$

The counit $\epsilon : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \to \mathbb{F}$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra homomorphism defined by

$$\epsilon(E) = 0, \qquad \epsilon(F) = 0, \qquad \epsilon(K) = 1.$$

The antipode $S : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \to U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra antiautomorphism defined by

$$S(E) = -K^{-1}E, \qquad S(F) = -FK, \qquad S(K) = K^{-1}.$$

Let Δ_0 denote the identity map on $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We recurrently define

$$\Delta_n = (1^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta_{n-1} \qquad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

The map Δ_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ is called the *n-fold comultiplication* of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Lemma 2.5. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ the following equation holds:*

$$\Delta_n = (1^{\otimes n-i} \otimes \Delta \otimes 1^{\otimes i-1}) \circ \Delta_{n-1}.$$

Proof. Proceed by induction on n and apply (6). □

Note that each $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes n}$-module can be regarded as a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module by pulling back via Δ_{n-1} for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

2.3. **Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules and finite-dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules.** For notational convenience we set

$$[\lambda; n] = \frac{\lambda q^n - \lambda^{-1} q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \qquad \text{for any nonzero } \lambda \in \mathbb{F} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For any nonzero scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ there exists a unique $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $M(\lambda)$ that has an $\mathbb{F}$-basis $\{m_i^{(\lambda)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$Km_i^{(\lambda)} = \lambda q^{-2i} m_i^{(\lambda)} \qquad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$Fm_i^{(\lambda)} = [i+1] m_{i+1}^{(\lambda)} \qquad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$Em_i^{(\lambda)} = [\lambda; 1-i] m_{i-1}^{(\lambda)} \qquad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}^*, \qquad Em_0^{(\lambda)} = 0.$$

The $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $M(\lambda)$ is called the *Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module*. By [6, §2.4] the Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $M(\lambda)$ satisfies the following universal property:

Proposition 2.6. *Let λ denote a nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{F}$. If a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module V contains a vector v such that*

$$Ev = 0, \qquad Kv = \lambda v,$$

then there exists a unique $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module homomorphism $M(\lambda) \to V$ that sends $m_0^{(\lambda)}$ to v.

Recall the normalized Casimir element Λ from (4). A direct calculation yields that

Lemma 2.7. *Let λ denote a nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{F}$. Then the element Λ acts on the Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $M(\lambda)$ as scalar multiplication by $\lambda q + \lambda^{-1} q^{-1}$.*

A necessary and sufficient condition for the Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $M(\lambda)$ to be irreducible is given below. For a proof please see [6, Proposition 2.5].

Lemma 2.8. *For any nonzero scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ the following* (i), (ii) *are equivalent:*

(i) *The Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $M(\lambda)$ is irreducible.*
(ii) $\lambda \notin \{\pm q^n \,|\, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. Now we set $\lambda = \varepsilon q^n$. Let $N(\lambda)$ denote the $\mathbb{F}$-subspace of $M(\lambda)$ spanned by

$$m_i^{(\lambda)} \qquad \text{for all } i \geq n+1.$$

Clearly $N(\lambda)$ is invariant under K and F. Since $[\lambda; -n] = 0$ in the setting, the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $N(\lambda)$ is invariant under E. Therefore $N(\lambda)$ is a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-submodule of $M(\lambda)$. Define

$$V(n, \varepsilon) = M(\lambda)/N(\lambda).$$

By construction the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $V(n, \varepsilon)$ has the $\mathbb{F}$-basis

$$v_i^{(n,\varepsilon)} = m_i^{(\lambda)} + N(\lambda) \qquad (0 \leq i \leq n).$$

The action of K, F, E on $V(n, \varepsilon)$ with respect to the $\mathbb{F}$-basis $\{v_i^{(n,\varepsilon)}\}_{i=0}^n$ of $V(n, \varepsilon)$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
Kv_i^{(n,\varepsilon)} &= \varepsilon q^{n-2i} v_i^{(n,\varepsilon)} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq n), \\
Fv_i^{(n,\varepsilon)} &= [i+1] v_{i+1}^{(n,\varepsilon)} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq n-1), \qquad Fv_n^{(n,\varepsilon)} = 0, \\
Ev_i^{(n,\varepsilon)} &= \varepsilon [n-i+1] v_{i-1}^{(n,\varepsilon)} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n), \qquad Ev_0^{(n,\varepsilon)} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.9. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. Then the element Λ acts on the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $V(n, \varepsilon)$ as scalar multiplication by $\varepsilon(q^{n+1} + q^{-n-1})$.*

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 2.7. □

A necessary and sufficient condition for $V(n, \varepsilon)$ to be irreducible is given below.

Lemma 2.10. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ the following* (i), (ii) *are equivalent:*

(i) *The $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $V(n, \varepsilon)$ is irreducible.*
(ii) $q^{2i} \neq 1$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. (i) ⇒ (ii): Suppose on the contrary that there exists $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $q^{2i} = 1$. Clearly the $\mathbb{F}$-subspace V of $V(n, \varepsilon)$ spanned by

$$v_k^{(n,\varepsilon)} \qquad \text{for all } 0 \leq k \leq i-1$$

is invariant under E and K. Since $[i] = 0$ the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space V is invariant under F. Therefore V is a nonzero $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-submodule of $V(n, \varepsilon)$, a contradiction to (i).

(ii) ⇒ (i): To see the irreducibility of $V(n, \varepsilon)$, we let V denote any nonzero $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-submodule of $V(n, \varepsilon)$ and show that $V = V(n, \varepsilon)$. Choose a nonzero vector $v \in V$. For $0 \leq i \leq n$ let c_i denote the coefficient of $v_i^{(n,\varepsilon)}$ in v with respect to the $\mathbb{F}$-basis $\{v_i^{(n,\varepsilon)}\}_{i=0}^n$ of $V(n, \varepsilon)$. Choose $k = \max\{i \,|\, c_i \neq 0\}$. Observe that

$$E^k v = \varepsilon^k c_k [n][n-1] \cdots [n-k+1] v_0^{(n,\varepsilon)}.$$

Since V is a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module the vector $E^k v \in V$. By (ii) the scalars $[i] \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $v_0^{(n,\varepsilon)} \in V$. Observe that

$$F^i v_0^{(n,\varepsilon)} = [1][2]\cdots[i] v_i^{(n,\varepsilon)} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Hence $v_i^{(n,\varepsilon)} \in V$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore $V = V(n,\varepsilon)$. □

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it is known that any $(n+1)$-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module is isomorphic to $V(n,1)$ or $V(n,-1)$ provided that $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed and q is not a root of unity [6, Theorem 2.6].

3. The universal Askey-Wilson algebra $\triangle_q$ and its modules

3.1. **The universal Askey-Wilson algebra.** The *universal Askey–Wilson algebra* $\triangle_q$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra generated by A, B, C and the relations assert that each of

$$A + \frac{qBC - q^{-1}CB}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \qquad B + \frac{qCA - q^{-1}AC}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \qquad C + \frac{qAB - q^{-1}BA}{q^2 - q^{-2}}$$

commutes with A, B, C [10, Definition 1.2]. Recall from §1 that the *Askey-Wilson algebra* is an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra generated by K_0, K_1, K_2 subject to the relations of the forms (1)–(3). Recall that (1)–(3) involve five additional parameters ϱ, ϱ^*, η, η^*, ω. It is straightforward to verify that $\triangle_q$ satisfies the following property: Under the mild constraints $\varrho \neq 0$ and $\varrho^* \neq 0$ there exists a unique surjective homomorphism from $\triangle_q$ into the Askey-Wilson algebra that sends

$$A \mapsto -\frac{q^2 - q^{-2}}{\sqrt{\varrho^*}} K_0, \qquad B \mapsto -\frac{q^2 - q^{-2}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} K_1, \qquad C \mapsto \frac{q + q^{-1}}{\sqrt{\varrho\varrho^*}}\omega - \frac{q^2 - q^{-2}}{\sqrt{\varrho\varrho^*}} K_2.$$

Let α, β, γ denote the elements of $\triangle_q$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha}{q + q^{-1}} &= A + \frac{qBC - q^{-1}CB}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \\ \frac{\beta}{q + q^{-1}} &= B + \frac{qCA - q^{-1}AC}{q^2 - q^{-2}}, \\ \frac{\gamma}{q + q^{-1}} &= C + \frac{qAB - q^{-1}BA}{q^2 - q^{-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $\triangle_q$ the elements α, β, γ are central in $\triangle_q$. Recall from [10, Theorem 4.1] that

Lemma 3.1. *The elements*

$$A^i B^j C^k \alpha^r \beta^s \gamma^t \qquad \text{for all } i,j,k,r,s,t \in \mathbb{N}$$

are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $\triangle_q$.

Observe that C is an $\mathbb{F}$-linear combination of AB, BA, γ. Therefore A, B, γ form a set of generators of $\triangle_q$. A presentation for $\triangle_q$ with respect to the generators is given in [10, Theorem 2.2]:

Lemma 3.2. *The $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $\triangle_q$ is generated by A, B, γ subject to the relations*

$$\begin{gathered} A^3B - [3]A^2BA + [3]ABA^2 - BA^3 = (q^2 - q^{-2})^2(BA - AB), \\ B^3A - [3]B^2AB + [3]BAB^2 - AB^3 = (q^2 - q^{-2})^2(AB - BA), \\ B^2A^2 - (q^2 + q^{-2})BABA + (q^2 + q^{-2})ABAB - A^2B^2 = (q - q^{-1})^2(AB - BA)\gamma, \\ \gamma A = A\gamma, \qquad \gamma B = B\gamma. \end{gathered}$$

By [10, Theorem 6.2] the element

$$\Omega = qABC + q^2A^2 + q^{-2}B^2 + q^2C^2 - qA\alpha - q^{-1}B\beta - qC\gamma \tag{11}$$

is central in $\triangle_q$. The central element is called the *Casimir element* of $\triangle_q$ [10, 12].

3.2. **Verma $\triangle_q$-modules and finite-dimensional $\triangle_q$-modules.** Throughout this subsection, let a, b, c, ν denote any four nonzero scalars in $\mathbb{F}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_i &= aq^{2i}\nu^{-1} + a^{-1}q^{-2i}\nu \qquad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{Z},\\
\theta_i^* &= bq^{2i}\nu^{-1} + b^{-1}q^{-2i}\nu \qquad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{Z},\\
\varphi_i &= a^{-1}b^{-1}q\nu(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{i-1}\nu^{-1} - q^{1-i}\nu)\\
&\quad \times (q^{-i} - abcq^{i-1}\nu^{-1})(q^{-i} - abc^{-1}q^{i-1}\nu^{-1}) \qquad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{Z},\\
\omega &= (b + b^{-1})(c + c^{-1}) + (a + a^{-1})(q\nu + q^{-1}\nu^{-1}),\\
\omega^* &= (c + c^{-1})(a + a^{-1}) + (b + b^{-1})(q\nu + q^{-1}\nu^{-1}),\\
\omega^\varepsilon &= (a + a^{-1})(b + b^{-1}) + (c + c^{-1})(q\nu + q^{-1}\nu^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall from [5, §3] that there exists a unique $\triangle_q$-module $M_\nu(a, b, c)$ with an $\mathbb{F}$-basis $\{m_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(A - \theta_i)m_i = m_{i+1} \qquad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N},\\
&(B - \theta_i^*)m_i = \varphi_i m_{i-1} \qquad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}^*, \qquad (B - \theta_0^*)m_0 = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(C - \frac{\omega^\varepsilon - \theta_i\theta_i^*}{q + q^{-1}}\right) m_i &= \varphi_i \frac{q^{-1}\theta_i - q\theta_{i-1}}{q^2 - q^{-2}} m_{i-1} + \frac{q^{-1}\varphi_{i+1} - q\varphi_i}{q^2 - q^{-2}} m_i\\
&\quad + \frac{q^{-1}\theta_{i+1}^* - q\theta_i^*}{q^2 - q^{-2}} m_{i+1} \qquad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}^*,\\
\left(C - \frac{\omega^\varepsilon - \theta_0\theta_0^*}{q + q^{-1}}\right) m_0 &= \frac{q^{-1}\varphi_1}{q^2 - q^{-2}} m_0 + \frac{q^{-1}\theta_1^* - q\theta_0^*}{q^2 - q^{-2}} m_1.
\end{aligned}$$

The central elements α, β, γ act on $M_\nu(a, b, c)$ as scalar multiplications by ω, ω^*, ω^ε respectively. The $\triangle_q$-module $M_\nu(a, b, c)$ is called the *Verma $\triangle_q$-module*. By [5, Proposition 3.2] the Verma $\triangle_q$-module $M_\nu(a, b, c)$ satisfies the following universal property:

Proposition 3.3. *If a $\triangle_q$-module V contains a vector v such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
Bv &= \theta_0^* v,\\
(B - \theta_1^*)(A - \theta_0)v &= \varphi_1 v,\\
\alpha v = \omega v, \qquad \beta v = \omega^* v, \qquad \gamma v &= \omega^\varepsilon v,
\end{aligned}$$

then there exists a unique $\triangle_q$-module homomorphism $M_\nu(a, b, c) \to V$ that sends m_0 to v.

Fix an integer $d \geq 0$ and set $\nu = q^d$. Let $N_\nu(a, b, c)$ denote the $\mathbb{F}$-subspace of $M_\nu(a, b, c)$ spanned by

$$m_i \qquad \text{for all } i \geq d + 1.$$

Clearly $N_\nu(a, b, c)$ is invariant under A. Since $\varphi_{d+1} = 0$ in the setting, the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $N_\nu(a, b, c)$ is invariant under B and C. Therefore $N_\nu(a, b, c)$ is a $\triangle_q$-submodule of $M_\nu(a, b, c)$. Define

$$V_d(a, b, c) = M_\nu(a, b, c)/N_\nu(a, b, c).$$

By construction the $\triangle_q$-module $V_d(a, b, c)$ has the $\mathbb{F}$-basis

$$v_i = m_i + N_\nu(a, b, c) \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

The action of A, B, C on $V_d(a, b, c)$ with respect to the $\mathbb{F}$-basis $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(A - \theta_i)v_i = v_{i+1} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d - 1), \qquad (A - \theta_d)v_d = 0,\\
&(B - \theta_i^*)v_i = \varphi_i v_{i-1} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d), \qquad (B - \theta_0^*)v_0 = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(C - \frac{\omega^\varepsilon - \theta_i\theta_i^*}{q+q^{-1}}\right) v_i = \varphi_i \frac{q^{-1}\theta_i - q\theta_{i-1}}{q^2-q^{-2}} v_{i-1} + \frac{q^{-1}\varphi_{i+1} - q\varphi_i}{q^2-q^{-2}} v_i + \frac{q^{-1}\theta_{i+1}^* - q\theta_i^*}{q^2-q^{-2}} v_{i+1} \qquad (1 \le i \le d-1),$$

$$\left(C - \frac{\omega^\varepsilon - \theta_0\theta_0^*}{q+q^{-1}}\right) v_0 = \frac{q^{-1}\varphi_1}{q^2-q^{-2}} v_0 + \frac{q^{-1}\theta_1^* - q\theta_0^*}{q^2-q^{-2}} v_1,$$

$$\left(C - \frac{\omega^\varepsilon - \theta_d\theta_d^*}{q+q^{-1}}\right) v_d = \varphi_d \frac{q^{-1}\theta_d - q\theta_{d-1}}{q^2-q^{-2}} v_{d-1} - \frac{q\varphi_d}{q^2-q^{-2}} v_d.$$

The central elements α, β, γ act on $V_d(a,b,c)$ as scalar multiplications by ω, ω^*, ω^ε respectively. The $\triangle_q$-module $V_d(a,b,c)$ satisfies the following universal property:

Proposition 3.4. *If a $\triangle_q$-module V contains a vector v such that*

$$Bv = \theta_0^* v,$$
$$(B-\theta_1^*)(A-\theta_0)v = \varphi_1 v,$$
$$\alpha v = \omega v, \qquad \beta v = \omega^* v, \qquad \gamma v = \omega^\varepsilon v,$$

and the characteristic polynomial of A on V is $\prod_{i=0}^{d}(X-\theta_i)$, then there exists a unique $\triangle_q$-module homomorphism $V_d(a,b,c) \to V$ that sends v_0 to v.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3 there exists a unique $\triangle_q$-module $\phi : M_\nu(a,b,c) \to V$ that sends m_0 to v. Observe that

$$N_\nu(a,b,c) = \prod_{i=0}^{d}(A-\theta_i) M_\nu(a,b,c).$$

By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem $N_\nu(a,b,c)$ is contained in the kernel of ϕ. The lemma follows. □

A necessary and sufficient condition for $V_d(a,b,c)$ to be irreducible is given below. For a proof please see [5, Theorem 4.4].

Lemma 3.5. *The $\triangle_q$-module $V_d(a,b,c)$ is irreducible if and only if the following* (i), (ii) *hold:*

(i) $q^{2i} \neq 1$ *for all* $1 \le i \le d$.
(ii) abc, $a^{-1}bc$, $ab^{-1}c$, $abc^{-1} \notin \{q^{d+1-2i} \mid 1 \le i \le d\}$.

By [5, Theorem 4.7], for any $(d+1)$-dimensional irreducible $\triangle_q$-module V, there exist nonzero scalars $a,b,c \in \mathbb{F}$ satisfying Lemma 3.5(ii) such that V is isomorphic to $V_d(a,b,c)$, provided that $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed and q is not a root of unity.

3.3. **Finite-dimensional irreducible $\triangle_q$-modules and Leonard pairs.** In this subsection we give a brief introduction to two famous families of finite-dimensional irreducible $\triangle_q$-modules studied in [4, 5].

To describe these $\triangle_q$-modules, we begin with some terms. A matrix is said to be *tridiagonal* if all nonzero entries lie on either the diagonal, the subdiagonal, or the superdiagonal. A tridiagonal matrix is said to be *irreducible* if each entry on the subdiagonal and superdiagonal is nonzero. Recall from [9] that two linear transformations on a finite-dimensional vector space V are called a *Leonard pair* whenever for each of the two transformations, there exists a basis of V with respect to which the matrix representing that transformation is diagonal and the matrix representing the other transformation is irreducible tridiagonal. [5, Theorem 5.2] gave the following necessary and sufficient conditions for any two of A, B, C as a Leonard pair on a finite-dimensional irreducible $\triangle_q$-module.

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that the $\triangle_q$-module $V_d(a,b,c)$ is irreducible. Then the following* (i)–(iii) *are equivalent:*

(i) *A, B (resp. B, C) (resp. C, A) act on $V_d(a,b,c)$ as a Leonard pair.*
(ii) *A, B (resp. B, C) (resp. C, A) are diagonalizable on $V_d(a,b,c)$.*
(iii) *Neither of a^2, b^2 (resp. b^2, c^2) (resp. c^2, a^2) is in the set $\{q^{2(d-i)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2d-1\}$.*

Recall from [1] that three linear transformations on a finite-dimensional vector space V are called a *Leonard triple* [1] whenever for each of the three transformations, there exists a basis of V with respect to which the matrix representing that transformation is diagonal and the matrices representing the other transformations are irreducible tridiagonal. [5, Theorem 5.3] gave the following necessary and sufficient conditions for A, B, C as a Leonard triple on a finite-dimensional irreducible $\triangle_q$-module.

Lemma 3.7. *Assume that the $\triangle_q$-module $V_d(a,b,c)$ is irreducible. Then the following* (i)–(iv) *are equivalent:*

(i) *A, B, C act on $V_d(a,b,c)$ as a Leonard triple.*
(ii) *Any two of A, B, C act on $V_d(a,b,c)$ as a Leonard pair.*
(iii) *A, B, C are diagonalizable on $V_d(a,b,c)$.*
(iv) *a^2, b^2, $c^2 \notin \{q^{2(d-i)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2d-1\}$.*

4. An embedding of $\triangle_q$ into $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

The outline of this section is as follows. In §4.1 we prove the existence and uniqueness of the homomorphism $\flat : \triangle_q \to U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ described in §1. Moreover we display the image of the Casimir element Ω of $\triangle_q$ under $\flat$ in terms of the normalized Casimir element Λ of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. In §4.2 we prove that the homomorphism $\flat$ is injective. As an application of the injectivity of $\flat$, in §4.3 we show that for any Hopf $*$-algebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, the involution $* \otimes * \otimes *$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ can be uniquely pulled back to an algebra involution of $\triangle_q$ via $\flat$.

4.1. **A homomorphism $\flat$ of $\triangle_q$ into $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.** In this subsection we prove the principal result of this paper:

Theorem 4.1. *There exists a unique $\mathbb{F}$-algebra homomorphism $\flat : \triangle_q \to U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} A^\flat &= \Delta(\Lambda) \otimes 1, \\ B^\flat &= 1 \otimes \Delta(\Lambda), \\ \alpha^\flat &= \Lambda \otimes \Lambda \otimes 1 + (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Lambda) \cdot \Delta_2(\Lambda), \\ \beta^\flat &= 1 \otimes \Lambda \otimes \Lambda + (\Lambda \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta_2(\Lambda), \\ \gamma^\flat &= \Lambda \otimes 1 \otimes \Lambda + (1 \otimes \Lambda \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta_2(\Lambda), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C^\flat = {} & \frac{\Lambda \otimes 1 \otimes \Lambda + (1 \otimes \Lambda \otimes 1) \cdot \Delta_2(\Lambda)}{q + q^{-1}} \\ & + \frac{q^{-1}(1 \otimes \Delta(\Lambda)) \cdot (\Delta(\Lambda) \otimes 1) - q(\Delta(\Lambda) \otimes 1) \cdot (1 \otimes \Delta(\Lambda))}{q^2 - q^{-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

To verify the existence of $\flat$ we make some preparation.

Lemma 4.2. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ the elements $1 \otimes \Delta_{n-1}(\Lambda)$ and $\Delta_{n-1}(\Lambda) \otimes 1$ are contained in the centralizer of $\Delta_n(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes(n+1)}$.*

Proof. Proceed by induction on n. Since 1, Λ are central in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ each of $1 \otimes \Lambda$, $\Lambda \otimes 1$ commutes with all elements of $\Delta(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$. Therefore the lemma holds for $n = 1$. Now suppose $n \geq 2$. Let u denote any element of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. By induction hypothesis the element $\Delta_{n-1}(u)$ commutes with $1 \otimes \Delta_{n-2}(\Lambda)$ and $\Delta_{n-2}(\Lambda) \otimes 1$. The elements $\Delta_n(u)$ and $1 \otimes \Delta_{n-1}(\Lambda)$ are the images of $\Delta_{n-1}(u)$ and $1 \otimes \Delta_{n-2}(\Lambda)$ under the homomorphism $1^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes \Delta$, respectively. Hence $\Delta_n(u)$ commutes with $1 \otimes \Delta_{n-1}(\Lambda)$. By Lemma 2.5 the elements $\Delta_n(u)$ and $\Delta_{n-1}(\Lambda) \otimes 1$ are the images of $\Delta_{n-1}(u)$ and $\Delta_{n-2}(\Lambda) \otimes 1$ under the homomorphism $\Delta \otimes 1^{\otimes(n-1)}$, respectively. Hence $\Delta_n(u)$ commutes with $\Delta_{n-1}(\Lambda) \otimes 1$. The lemma follows. □

Lemma 4.3. *The homogeneous components of $\Delta(\Lambda)$ and $\Delta_2(\Lambda)$ are given in the tables below. Any homogeneous component not displayed is zero.*

degree	$\Delta(\Lambda)$
$(-1, 1)$	$q^2(q - q^{-1})^2 KF \otimes K^{-1}E$
$(0, 0)$	$\Lambda \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes \Lambda$ $- (q + q^{-1})K \otimes K^{-1}$
$(1, -1)$	$(q - q^{-1})^2 E \otimes F$

degree	$\Delta_2(\Lambda)$
$(-1, 0, 1)$	$q^2(q - q^{-1})^2 KF \otimes 1 \otimes K^{-1}E$
$(-1, 1, 0)$	$q^2(q - q^{-1})^2 KF \otimes K^{-1}E \otimes K^{-1}$
$(0, -1, 1)$	$q^2(q - q^{-1})^2 K \otimes KF \otimes K^{-1}E$
$(0, 0, 0)$	$K \otimes K \otimes \Lambda + K \otimes \Lambda \otimes K^{-1} + \Lambda \otimes K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}$ $- (q + q^{-1})K \otimes K \otimes K^{-1} - (q + q^{-1})K \otimes K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}$
$(0, 1, -1)$	$(q - q^{-1})^2 K \otimes E \otimes F$
$(1, -1, 0)$	$(q - q^{-1})^2 E \otimes F \otimes K^{-1}$
$(1, 0, -1)$	$(q - q^{-1})^2 E \otimes 1 \otimes F$

Proof. By (4) the element $\Delta(\Lambda)$ is equal to

$$(q - q^{-1})^2 \Delta(F)\Delta(E) + q\Delta(K) + q^{-1}\Delta(K)^{-1}. \tag{12}$$

To get the table for $\Delta(\Lambda)$ one may substitute (8)–(10) into (12) and use Lemma 2.2(ii) to evaluate the homogeneous component of $\Delta(\Lambda)$ of degree $(0, 0)$. By the definition of Δ_2 the element $\Delta_2(\Lambda) = (1 \otimes \Delta)(\Delta(\Lambda))$. To get the table of $\Delta_2(\Lambda)$ one may apply the identity to evaluate $\Delta_2(\Lambda)$. □

For convenience, let $A^\flat$, $B^\flat$, $C^\flat$, $\alpha^\flat$, $\beta^\flat$, $\gamma^\flat$ denote the elements of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ as in the statement of Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 4.4. *The homogeneous components of $A^\flat$, $B^\flat$, $C^\flat$, $\alpha^\flat$, $\beta^\flat$, $\gamma^\flat$ are given in the tables below. Any homogeneous component not displayed is zero.*

degree	$A^\flat$
$(-1,1,0)$	$q^2(q-q^{-1})^2 KF \otimes K^{-1}E \otimes 1$
$(0,0,0)$	$\Lambda \otimes K^{-1} \otimes 1 + K \otimes \Lambda \otimes 1$ $- (q+q^{-1}) K \otimes K^{-1} \otimes 1$
$(1,-1,0)$	$(q-q^{-1})^2 E \otimes F \otimes 1$

degree	$B^\flat$
$(0,-1,1)$	$q^2(q-q^{-1})^2 \otimes KF \otimes K^{-1}E$
$(0,0,0)$	$1 \otimes \Lambda \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes K \otimes \Lambda$ $- (q+q^{-1}) \otimes K \otimes K^{-1}$
$(0,1,-1)$	$(q-q^{-1})^2 \otimes E \otimes F$

degree	$C^\flat$
$(-1,0,1)$	$q^2(q-q^{-1})^2 KF \otimes K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}E$
$(0,-1,1)$	$q(q-q^{-1})(q^2-q^{-2}) K \otimes F \otimes K^{-1}E - q(q-q^{-1})^2 \Lambda \otimes F \otimes K^{-1}E$
$(0,0,0)$	$\Lambda \otimes 1 \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes 1 \otimes \Lambda - (q+q^{-1}) K \otimes 1 \otimes K^{-1}$
$(1,-2,1)$	$-q^3(q-q^{-1})^4 E \otimes KF^2 \otimes K^{-1}E$
$(1,-1,0)$	$q(q-q^{-1})(q^2-q^{-2}) E \otimes KF \otimes K^{-1} - q(q-q^{-1})^2 E \otimes KF \otimes \Lambda$
$(1,0,-1)$	$(q-q^{-1})^2 E \otimes K \otimes F$

degree	$\alpha^\flat$
$(-1,0,1)$	$q^2(q-q^{-1})^2 KF \otimes 1 \otimes \Lambda K^{-1}E$
$(-1,1,0)$	$q^2(q-q^{-1})^2 KF \otimes K^{-1}E \otimes K^{-1}\Lambda$
$(0,-1,1)$	$q^2(q-q^{-1})^2 K \otimes KF \otimes \Lambda K^{-1}E$
$(0,0,0)$	$\Lambda \otimes K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}\Lambda + \Lambda \otimes \Lambda \otimes 1 + K \otimes K \otimes \Lambda^2 + K \otimes \Lambda \otimes K^{-1}\Lambda$ $- (q+q^{-1})(K \otimes K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}\Lambda + K \otimes K \otimes K^{-1}\Lambda)$
$(0,1,-1)$	$(q-q^{-1})^2 K \otimes E \otimes \Lambda F$
$(1,-1,0)$	$(q-q^{-1})^2 E \otimes F \otimes \Lambda K^{-1}$
$(1,0,-1)$	$(q-q^{-1})^2 E \otimes 1 \otimes \Lambda F$

degree	$\beta^\flat$
$(-1,0,1)$	$q^2(q-q^{-1})^2 \Lambda KF \otimes 1 \otimes K^{-1}E$
$(-1,1,0)$	$q^2(q-q^{-1})^2 \Lambda KF \otimes K^{-1}E \otimes K^{-1}$
$(0,-1,1)$	$q^2(q-q^{-1})^2 \Lambda K \otimes KF \otimes K^{-1}E$
$(0,0,0)$	$\Lambda^2 \otimes K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes \Lambda \otimes \Lambda + K\Lambda \otimes K \otimes \Lambda + K\Lambda \otimes \Lambda \otimes K^{-1}$ $- (q+q^{-1})(K\Lambda \otimes K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1} + K\Lambda \otimes K \otimes K^{-1})$
$(0,1,-1)$	$(q-q^{-1})^2 \Lambda K \otimes E \otimes F$
$(1,-1,0)$	$(q-q^{-1})^2 \Lambda E \otimes F \otimes K^{-1}$
$(1,0,-1)$	$(q-q^{-1})^2 \Lambda E \otimes 1 \otimes F$

degree	$\gamma^\flat$
$(-1,0,1)$	$q^2(q-q^{-1})^2 KF \otimes \Lambda \otimes K^{-1}E$
$(-1,1,0)$	$q^2(q-q^{-1})^2 KF \otimes \Lambda K^{-1}E \otimes K^{-1}$
$(0,-1,1)$	$q^2(q-q^{-1})^2 K \otimes \Lambda KF \otimes K^{-1}E$
$(0,0,0)$	$\Lambda \otimes K^{-1}\Lambda \otimes K^{-1} + \Lambda \otimes 1 \otimes \Lambda + K \otimes K\Lambda \otimes \Lambda + K \otimes \Lambda^2 \otimes K^{-1}$ $-(q+q^{-1})(K \otimes K^{-1}\Lambda \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes K\Lambda \otimes K^{-1})$
$(0,1,-1)$	$(q-q^{-1})^2 K \otimes \Lambda E \otimes F$
$(1,-1,0)$	$(q-q^{-1})^2 E \otimes \Lambda F \otimes K^{-1}$
$(1,0,-1)$	$(q-q^{-1})^2 E \otimes \Lambda \otimes F$

Proof. The tables for $A^\flat$, $B^\flat$, $\alpha^\flat$, $\beta^\flat$, $\gamma^\flat$ are immediate from Lemma 4.3. To obtain the table for $C^\flat$, one may apply Lemma 2.2 to express each homogeneous component of $(\Delta(\Lambda)\otimes 1)\cdot(1\otimes\Delta(\Lambda))$ and $(1\otimes\Delta(\Lambda))\cdot(\Delta(\Lambda)\otimes 1)$ as a linear combination of the corresponding basis given in Lemma 2.4. □

We are now in the position to prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Lemma 4.2 with $n=1$ the element $\Delta_2(\Lambda)$ commutes with $1\otimes\Delta(\Lambda)$ and $\Delta(\Lambda)\otimes 1$. Since Λ is central in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ each of $1\otimes 1\otimes\Lambda$, $\Lambda\otimes 1\otimes 1$, $1\otimes\Lambda\otimes 1$ commutes with all elements of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Concluding from the above comments, each of $\alpha^\flat$, $\beta^\flat$, $\gamma^\flat$ commutes with $A^\flat$, $B^\flat$, $C^\flat$. To see the existence of $\flat$ it remains to verify that

$$\frac{\alpha^\flat}{q+q^{-1}} = A^\flat + \frac{qB^\flat C^\flat - q^{-1}C^\flat B^\flat}{q^2-q^{-2}}, \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{\beta^\flat}{q+q^{-1}} = B^\flat + \frac{qC^\flat A^\flat - q^{-1}A^\flat C^\flat}{q^2-q^{-2}}, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\gamma^\flat}{q+q^{-1}} = C^\flat + \frac{qA^\flat B^\flat - q^{-1}B^\flat A^\flat}{q^2-q^{-2}}. \tag{15}$$

Equation (15) is immediate from the construction of $A^\flat$, $B^\flat$, $C^\flat$, $\gamma^\flat$. To verify (13) and (14), one may utilize Lemmas 2.2 and 4.4 to express each homogeneous component of the right-hand sides of (13), (14) as a linear combination of the corresponding basis given in Lemma 2.4. Then it is able to check that the corresponding homogeneous components of both sides of (13), (14) are equal to each other. After the tedious verification (13), (14) follow. This shows the existence of $\flat$. The homomorphism $\flat$ is unique since the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $\triangle_q$ is generated by A, B, C. □

Recall the formula (11) for the Casimir element Ω of $\triangle_q$.

Theorem 4.5. *The image of the Casimir element Ω of $\triangle_q$ under $\flat$ is equal to*

$$(q+q^{-1})^2 - \Lambda^2\otimes 1\otimes 1 - 1\otimes\Lambda^2\otimes 1 - 1\otimes 1\otimes\Lambda^2 - (\Lambda\otimes\Lambda\otimes\Lambda)\cdot\Delta_2(\Lambda) - \Delta_2(\Lambda)^2. \tag{16}$$

Proof. By (11) the image of Ω under $\flat$ is

$$qA^\flat B^\flat C^\flat + q^2(A^\flat)^2 + q^{-2}(B^\flat)^2 + q^2(C^\flat)^2 - qA^\flat\alpha^\flat - q^{-1}B^\flat\beta^\flat - qC^\flat\gamma^\flat. \tag{17}$$

Similar to the idea of the verification for (13) and (14), we express each homogeneous component of (16), (17) as a linear combination of the corresponding basis given in Lemma 2.4. After then we can check that the corresponding homogeneous components of (16), (17) are equal to each other. The theorem follows. □

We end this subsection with a corollary of Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.6. *The image of* $\flat$ *is contained in the centralizer of* $\Delta_2(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ *in* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes 3}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 with $n = 1$ the elements $A^\flat = \Delta(\Lambda) \otimes 1$ and $B^\flat = 1 \otimes \Delta(\Lambda)$ are in the centralizer of $\Delta_2(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes 3}$. Since Λ is in the center of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ the element $C^\flat$ is in the centralizer of $\Delta_2(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$ in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes 3}$ as well. Since the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $\triangle_q$ is generated by A, B, C the corollary follows. □

4.2. **The injectivity of the homomorphism** $\flat$**.** To see the injectivity of $\flat$, we equip the ring $\mathbb{Z}^3$ with the lexicographical order. Let u denote a nonzero element of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We call a nonzero homogeneous component of u the *leading component* of u if each homogeneous component of u of higher degree is zero. The *degree* of u is meant to be the degree of the leading component of u.

Lemma 4.7. *For all* $i, j, k, r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ *the following* (i), (ii) *hold:*

(i) *The degree of* $(A^\flat)^i (B^\flat)^j (C^\flat)^k (\alpha^\flat)^r (\beta^\flat)^s (\gamma^\flat)^t$ *is*

$$(i + k + r + s + t, j - i, -j - k - r - s - t).$$

(ii) *The leading component of* $(A^\flat)^i (B^\flat)^j (C^\flat)^k (\alpha^\flat)^r (\beta^\flat)^s (\gamma^\flat)^t$ *is*

$$\phi(i, j, k, r, s, t) \times \begin{cases} E^{i+k+r+s+t} \otimes E^{j-i} \otimes F^{-j-k-r-s-t} & \text{if } i \le j, \\ E^{i+k+r+s+t} \otimes F^{i-j} \otimes F^{-j-k-r-s-t} & \text{if } i > j, \end{cases}$$

where $\phi(i, j, k, r, s, t)$ *is equal to* $q^{2(i-j)k}(q - q^{-1})^{2(\max\{i,j\}+k+r+s+t)}$ *times*

$$\Lambda^s \otimes \Lambda^t K^k \prod_{h=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} (\Lambda - q^{1-2(h-i)} K - q^{2(h-i)-1} K^{-1}) \otimes \Lambda^r.$$

Proof. Observe from Lemma 4.4 that the degrees of $A^\flat$, $B^\flat$, $C^\flat$, $\alpha^\flat$, $\beta^\flat$, $\gamma^\flat$ are

$$\begin{aligned} &(1, -1, 0), \qquad (0, 1, -1), \qquad (1, 0, -1), \\ &(1, 0, -1), \qquad (1, 0, -1), \qquad (1, 0, -1), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Statement (i) is immediate from the observation. To obtain (ii) one may apply Lemma 2.2(ii) and Lemma 4.4. □

We are ready to prove the injectivity of $\flat$.

Theorem 4.8. *The homomorphism* $\flat$ *is injective.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that there exists a nonzero element I in the kernel of $\flat$. For any $i, j, k, r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ let $c(i, j, k, r, s, t)$ denote the coefficient of $A^i B^j C^k \alpha^r \beta^s \gamma^t$ in I with respect to the basis of $\triangle_q$ given in Lemma 3.1. Let S denote the set consisting of all 6-tuples (i, j, k, r, s, t) of nonnegative integers with $c(i, j, k, r, s, t) \neq 0$. Thus

$$\sum_{(i,j,k,r,s,t) \in S} c(i, j, k, r, s, t) A^i B^j C^k \alpha^r \beta^s \gamma^t = I.$$

Applying $\flat$ to either side of the equality, we obtain that

$$\sum_{(i,j,k,r,s,t) \in S} c(i, j, k, r, s, t) (A^\flat)^i (B^\flat)^j (C^\flat)^k (\alpha^\flat)^r (\beta^\flat)^s (\gamma^\flat)^t = 0. \tag{18}$$

Furthermore, for all $m, n, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $S(m, n, p)$ denote the set consisting of those $(i, j, k, r, s, t) \in S$ with

$$(m, n, p) = (i + k + r + s + t, j - i, -j - k - r - s - t).$$

Equation (18) can be written as

$$\sum_{m,n,p\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(i,j,k,r,s,t)\in S(m,n,p)} c(i,j,k,r,s,t)(A^\flat)^i(B^\flat)^j(C^\flat)^k(\alpha^\flat)^r(\beta^\flat)^s(\gamma^\flat)^t = 0. \tag{19}$$

By Lemma 4.7(i) each summand in the inner summation of (19) is of degree (m,n,p). Since $I \neq 0$ the finite set S is nonempty. Hence we may define

$$(M,N,P) = \max\{(m,n,p) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid S(m,n,p) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Recall from Lemma 4.7(ii) the elements $\phi(i,j,k,r,s,t) \in U_0 \otimes U_0 \otimes U_0$ for all $i,j,k,r,s,t \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 4.7(ii) and the maximality of (M,N,P), equation (19) implies that

$$\sum_{(i,j,k,r,s,t)\in S(M,N,P)} c(i,j,k,r,s,t) \cdot \phi(i,j,k,r,s,t) = 0. \tag{20}$$

Now, fix an element $(i,j,k,r,s,t) \in S(M,N,P)$ with minimum value at $k - \min\{i,j\}$. Observe that the term (i,j,k,r,s,t) contributes to the coefficient of

$$\Lambda^s \otimes \Lambda^t K^{k-\min\{i,j\}} \otimes \Lambda^r$$

in the left-hand side of (20). Suppose that $(i',j',k',r',s',t') \in S(M,N,P)$ also contributes to the coefficient. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&i+k+r+s+t = i'+k'+r'+s'+t' = M,\\
&j-i = j'-i' = N,\\
&j+k+r+s+t = j'+k'+r'+s'+t' = -P,\\
&r = r', \qquad s = s'.
\end{aligned}$$

By the choice of (i,j,k,r,s,t) we have $k-\min\{i,j\} = k'-\min\{i',j'\}$ and $t = t'$. Solving these equations yields that $(i',j',k',r',s',t') = (i,j,k,r,s,t)$. Therefore the coefficient of $\Lambda^s \otimes \Lambda^t K^{k-\min\{i,j\}} \otimes \Lambda^r$ in the left-hand side of (20) is equal to $c(i,j,k,r,s,t)$ times

$$(-1)^{\min\{i,j\}} q^{2(i-j)k+\min\{i,j\}(\min\{i,j\}-2i)} (q-q^{-1})^{2(\max\{i,j\}+k+r+s+t)}.$$

Since the coefficient is nonzero, the equation (20) gives a nontrivial $\mathbb{F}[K^{\pm 1}]$-algebraic dependence relation for

$$\Lambda \otimes 1 \otimes 1, \qquad 1 \otimes \Lambda \otimes 1, \qquad 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Lambda.$$

This leads to a contradiction to Lemma 2.4. The theorem follows. □

We end this subsection with a corollary of Theorem 4.8.

Corollary 4.9. *Let ϕ denote an $\mathbb{F}$-Hopf algebra automorphism of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. For any $\mathbb{F}$-algebra automorphism φ of $\triangle_q$ the following* (i), (ii) *are equivalent:*

(i) $\flat \circ \varphi = (\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \phi) \circ \flat$.
(ii) $\varphi = 1$.

Proof. By [8, §3.1.2, Proposition 6] there exists a nonzero scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ satisfying

$$\phi(E) = \alpha E, \qquad \phi(F) = \alpha^{-1} F, \qquad \phi(K) = K.$$

By (4) the element Λ is invariant under ϕ. Hence $1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Lambda$, $\Lambda \otimes 1 \otimes 1$, $1 \otimes \Lambda \otimes 1$ are invariant under $\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \phi$. By (7) the elements $\Delta(\Lambda) \otimes 1$, $1 \otimes \Delta(\Lambda)$, $\Delta_2(\Lambda)$ are invariant under $\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \phi$. Therefore the elements $A^\flat$, $B^\flat$, $C^\flat$ are invariant under $\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \phi$ by Theorem 4.1. In other words, the homomorphism $(\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \phi) \circ \flat$ sends A, B, C to $A^\flat$, $B^\flat$, $C^\flat$ respectively.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): By the above comment the two $\mathbb{F}$-algebra homomorphisms $\flat$ and $(\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \phi) \circ \flat$ agree on the generators A, B, C of $\triangle_q$. Therefore $\varphi = 1$ satisfies (i).

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): By Theorem 4.8 any automorphism φ of $\triangle_q$ with (i) must sends A, B, C to A, B, C respectively. Therefore $\varphi = 1$. □

4.3. **Hopf $*$-algebras of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and algebra involutions of $\triangle_q$.** Throughout this subsection, we assume that the underlying field is the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$ and let $- : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the complex conjugation.

Recall that an *involution* $*$ is a function with $* \circ * = 1$. A vector space V over $\mathbb{C}$ with an involution $* : V \to V$ is called a $*$-*vector space* if

$$(\lambda u + \mu v)^* = \overline{\lambda} u^* + \overline{\mu} v^*$$

for all $u, v \in V$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. An algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with an involution $* : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called a $*$-*algebra* if $\mathcal{A}$ is a $*$-vector space and

$$(xy)^* = y^* x^*$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Here $*$ is called the *algebra involution* of a $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. A coalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with an involution $*$ is called a $*$-*coalgebra* if the coalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a $*$-vector space and the comultiplication Δ and the counit ϵ of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfy

$$(* \otimes *) \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ *, \tag{21}$$
$$\epsilon \circ * = - \circ \epsilon.$$

A bialgebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with an involution $*$ is called a $*$-*bialgebra* if the bialgebra is a $*$-algebra and a $*$-coalgebra. A Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with an involution $*$ is called a *Hopf* $*$-*algebra* if the Hopf algebra is a $*$-bialgebra. A Hopf $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be *equivalent* to a Hopf $*'$-algebra $\mathcal{A}'$ if there exists a Hopf algebra isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ such that

$$\phi \circ * = *' \circ \phi.$$

The equivalence relations on $*$-algebras, $*$-coalgebras and $*$-bialgebras are defined in similar ways.

Let $\mathbb{R}$ denote the real number field. Recall from [8, §3.1.4] that the Hopf algebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ has the following Hopf $*$-algebra structures up to equivalence:

(R1) $E^* = KF$, $F^* = EK^{-1}$, $K^* = K$ for $q \in \mathbb{R}$.
(R2) $E^* = -KF$, $F^* = -EK^{-1}$, $K^* = K$ for $q \in \mathbb{R}$.
(R3) $E^* = E$, $F^* = F$, $K^* = K$ for $|q| = 1$.
(R4) $E^* = \sqrt{-1}KF$, $F^* = \sqrt{-1}EK^{-1}$, $K^* = K$ for $q \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$.
(R5) $E^* = -\sqrt{-1}KF$, $F^* = -\sqrt{-1}EK^{-1}$, $K^* = K$ for $q \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$.

The cases (R1)–(R3) correspond to the real forms $\mathfrak{su}_2$, $\mathfrak{su}_{1,1}$, $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ respectively. Hence these Hopf $*$-algebras of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ are denoted by $U_q(\mathfrak{su}_2)$, $U_q(\mathfrak{su}_{1,1})$, $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}))$ respectively. The cases (R4), (R5) have no classical counterparts.

Lemma 4.10. (i) $\Lambda^* = \Lambda$ *for each algebra involution* $*$ *of* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ *given in* (R1)–(R3).
(ii) $\Lambda^* = -\Lambda$ *for each algebra involution* $*$ *of* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ *given in* (R4), (R5).

Proof. To see (i), (ii) one may apply each algebra involution $*$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ given in (R1)–(R5) to (4) and simplify the resulting equation by using the defining relations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. □

Theorem 4.11. *For any Hopf* $*$*-algebra of* $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ *there exists a unique algebra involution* $\dagger : \triangle_q \to \triangle_q$ *such that*

$$\flat \circ \dagger = (* \otimes * \otimes *) \circ \flat. \tag{22}$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.8, if the algebra involution $\dagger$ of $\triangle_q$ exists then it is unique. In what follows we prove the existence of $\dagger$.

Assume that $*$ is one of the involutions of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ given in (R1)–(R3). By Lemma 4.10 the elements $1\otimes 1\otimes \Lambda$, $\Lambda\otimes 1\otimes 1$, $1\otimes\Lambda\otimes 1$ are invariant under $*\otimes *\otimes *$. By (21) the elements $\Delta(\Lambda)\otimes 1$, $1\otimes\Delta(\Lambda)$, $\Delta_2(\Lambda)$ are invariant under $*\otimes *\otimes *$. Thus, the elements $A^\flat$, $B^\flat$, $\gamma^\flat$ are invariant under $*\otimes *\otimes *$ by Theorem 4.1. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.2 there exists a unique algebra involution $\dagger : \triangle_q \to \triangle_q$ such that

$$A^\dagger = A, \qquad B^\dagger = B, \qquad \gamma^\dagger = \gamma.$$

Combining the above comments the involution $\dagger$ of $\triangle_q$ satisfies (22).

Assume that $*$ is one of the involutions of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ given in (R4), (R5). By Lemma 4.10 the involution $*\otimes *\otimes *$ sends each of $1\otimes 1\otimes\Lambda$, $\Lambda\otimes 1\otimes 1$, $1\otimes\Lambda\otimes 1$ to its negative. By (21) the involution $*\otimes *\otimes *$ also sends each of $\Delta(\Lambda)\otimes 1$, $1\otimes\Delta(\Lambda)$, $\Delta_2(\Lambda)$ to its negative. Thus, by Theorem 4.1 the images of $A^\flat$, $B^\flat$, $\gamma^\flat$ under $*\otimes *\otimes *$ are $-A^\flat$, $-B^\flat$, $\gamma^\flat$ respectively. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.2 there exists a unique algebra involution $\dagger : \triangle_q \to \triangle_q$ with

$$A^\dagger = -A, \qquad B^\dagger = -B, \qquad \gamma^\dagger = \gamma.$$

Combining the above comments the involution $\dagger$ of $\triangle_q$ satisfies (22).

The cases (R1)–(R5) include all Hopf $*$-algebras of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ up to equivalence. Therefore the above two cases imply the existence of $\dagger$ by Corollary 4.9. The theorem follows. □

5. The $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules as $\triangle_q$-modules

By Theorem 4.1 each $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module can be considered as a $\triangle_q$-module via pulling back the homomorphism $\flat$. The aim of this section is to study the 3-fold tensor products of irreducible Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules and of finite-dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules from the viewpoint of $\triangle_q$-modules.

The outline of this section is as follows. In §5.1 (resp. §5.3) we display the coupled bases of 2-fold tensor products of irreducible Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules (resp. finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules). In §5.2 (resp. §5.4) we apply the results of §3.2 and §5.1 (resp. §5.3) to find a decomposition formula for 3-fold tensor products of irreducible Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules (resp. finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules) into the direct sums of finite-dimensional irreducible $\triangle_q$-modules.

5.1. Coupled bases of tensor products of irreducible Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules.

Recall from §2.3 the Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules $M(\lambda)$ and the action of K, F, E on $M(\lambda)$ with respect to the $\mathbb{F}$-basis $\{m_i^{(\lambda)}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $M(\lambda)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that q is not a root of unity. Let κ and λ denote nonzero scalars in $\mathbb{F}$. For each $h\in\mathbb{N}$ let*

$$N(h)$$

denote the eigenspace of $\Delta(K)$ on $M(\kappa)\otimes M(\lambda)$ associated with eigenvalue $\kappa\lambda q^{-2h}$. Then the following (i), (ii) *hold:*

(i) $M(\kappa)\otimes M(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{h\in\mathbb{N}} N(h)$.

(ii) *For each $h\in\mathbb{N}$ the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $N(h)$ is of dimension $h+1$.*

Proof. Since q is not a root of unity the scalars $\{\kappa\lambda q^{-2h}\}_{h\in\mathbb{N}}$ are mutually distinct. Therefore

$$\sum_{h\in\mathbb{N}} N(h)$$

is a direct sum. For each $h \in \mathbb{N}$ the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $N(h)$ contains $m_i^{(\kappa)} \otimes m_j^{(\lambda)}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i + j = h$. Combined with the fact that $\{m_i^{(\kappa)} \otimes m_j^{(\lambda)}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda)$, the lemma follows. □

A decomposition rule for the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda)$ is given below.

Proposition 5.2. *Assume that q is not a root of unity. Let κ and λ denote nonzero scalars in $\mathbb{F}$ with*

$$\kappa,\ \lambda,\ \kappa\lambda \notin \{\pm q^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For all $h \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$m_0^{(\kappa,\lambda;h)} = \sum_{i=0}^{h} (-1)^i \kappa^i q^{i(1-i)} \prod_{\ell=1}^{h-i} \frac{[\kappa; \ell - h]}{[\lambda; i + \ell - h]} m_i^{(\kappa)} \otimes m_{h-i}^{(\lambda)}, \tag{23}$$

$$m_k^{(\kappa,\lambda;h)} = \frac{1}{[k]} \Delta(F) m_{k-1}^{(\kappa,\lambda;h)} \qquad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}^*. \tag{24}$$

Then the following (i), (ii) *hold:*

(i) *The vectors*

$$m_k^{(\kappa,\lambda;h)} \qquad \text{for all } h, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda)$.

(ii) *There exists a unique $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module isomorphism*

$$M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda) \ \to \ \bigoplus_{h \in \mathbb{N}} M(\kappa\lambda q^{-2h})$$

that sends $m_k^{(\kappa,\lambda;h)}$ to $m_k^{(\kappa\lambda q^{-2h})}$ for all $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Fix an $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall the $\mathbb{F}$-subspace $N(h)$ of $M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda)$ from Lemma 5.1. Observe that

$$m_i^{(\kappa,\lambda;h-i)} \in N(h) \qquad (0 \le i \le h). \tag{25}$$

We show that the $(h+1)$ vectors (25) are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}$. Suppose on the contrary that there exist scalars $\{c_i\}_{i=0}^h$ in $\mathbb{F}$, not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{h} c_i m_i^{(\kappa,\lambda;h-i)} = 0. \tag{26}$$

Let $j = \max\{j \mid c_j \neq 0\}$. Applying $\Delta(E)^j$ to either side of (26) it follows that

$$c_j \prod_{i=1}^{j} [\kappa\lambda q^{2(j-h)}; i - j] m_0^{(\kappa,\lambda;h-j)} = 0. \tag{27}$$

Since $\kappa\lambda \neq \pm q^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the coefficient in the left-hand side of (27) is nonzero. Therefore the left-hand side of (27) is a nonzero vector, a contradiction. This shows that (25) are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}$. By Lemma 5.1(ii) the vectors (25) are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $N(h)$. Combined with Lemma 5.1(i) the statement (i) follows.

Let M denote the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-submodule of $M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda)$ generated by $m_0^{(\kappa,\lambda;h)}$. A direct calculation yields that

$$E m_0^{(\kappa,\lambda;h)} = 0, \qquad K m_0^{(\kappa,\lambda;h)} = \kappa\lambda q^{-2h} m_0^{(\kappa,\lambda;h)}.$$

By Proposition 2.6 there exists a unique $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module homomorphism $\phi : M(\kappa\lambda q^{-2h}) \to M$ that maps $m_0^{(\kappa\lambda q^{-2h})}$ to $m_0^{(\kappa,\lambda;h)}$. By the construction of M the homomorphism ϕ is surjective. Since

$\kappa\lambda \neq \pm q^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and by Lemma 2.8, the Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $M(\kappa\lambda q^{-2h})$ is irreducible. Since $M \neq 0$ it follows that ϕ is injective. Therefore ϕ is a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module isomorphism. By (24) the vector $m_k^{(\kappa,\lambda;h)}$ $(k \in \mathbb{N})$ is the image of $m_k^{(\kappa\lambda q^{-2h})}$ under ϕ. Combined with (i) the statement (ii) follows. □

The $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda)$ given in Proposition 5.2(i) is called the *coupled* $\mathbb{F}$*-basis* of $M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda)$. On the other hand the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda)$ has the $\mathbb{F}$-basis

$$m_i^{(\kappa)} \otimes m_j^{(\lambda)} \qquad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{28}$$

For all $h, i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\begin{bmatrix} \kappa & \lambda & \kappa\lambda q^{-2h} \\ i & j & k \end{bmatrix}$$

denote the coefficient of $m_i^{(\kappa)} \otimes m_j^{(\lambda)}$ in $m_k^{(\kappa,\lambda;h)}$ with respect to the $\mathbb{F}$-basis (28) of $M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda)$.

Proposition 5.3. *Assume that q is not a root of unity. Let κ and λ denote nonzero scalars in $\mathbb{F}$ with*

$$\kappa,\ \lambda,\ \kappa\lambda \notin \{\pm q^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For all $h, i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following (i), (ii) *hold:*

(i) *If $h + k \neq i + j$ then* $\begin{bmatrix} \kappa & \lambda & \kappa\lambda q^{-2h} \\ i & j & k \end{bmatrix} = 0.$

(ii) *If $h + k = i + j$ then* $\begin{bmatrix} \kappa & \lambda & \kappa\lambda q^{-2h} \\ i & j & k \end{bmatrix}$ *is equal to*

$$q^{i(h+j)} \sum_{r=0}^{h} (-1)^{r-h} q^{(r-h)(2h+k-1)} \kappa^{h-r} \lambda^{h-r-i} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ h-r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ r \end{bmatrix} \prod_{s=1}^{r} \frac{[\kappa; s-h]}{[\lambda; 1-s]}.$$

Proof. By (23), for all $h, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \kappa & \lambda & \kappa\lambda q^{-2h} \\ i & j & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } h \neq i + j, \\ (-1)^i \kappa^i q^{i(1-i)} \displaystyle\prod_{\ell=1}^{j} \frac{[\kappa; \ell - h]}{[\lambda; \ell - j]} & \text{if } h = i + j. \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $m_i^{(\kappa)} \otimes m_j^{(\lambda)}$ in both sides of (24) yields that

$$[k] \begin{bmatrix} \kappa & \lambda & \kappa\lambda q^{-2h} \\ i & j & k \end{bmatrix} = \lambda^{-1} q^{2j} [i] \begin{bmatrix} \kappa & \lambda & \kappa\lambda q^{-2h} \\ i-1 & j & k-1 \end{bmatrix} + [j] \begin{bmatrix} \kappa & \lambda & \kappa\lambda q^{-2h} \\ i & j-1 & k-1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{30}$$

for all $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. The lemma follows by solving the recurrence relation (30) with initial values (29). □

5.2. **Three-fold tensor products of irreducible Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules.** In this subsection, we study the 3-fold tensor products of irreducible Verma $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules from the viewpoint of $\triangle_q$-modules.

Theorem 5.4. *Assume that q is not a root of unity. Let κ, λ, μ denote nonzero scalars in $\mathbb{F}$ with*

$$\kappa,\ \lambda,\ \mu,\ \kappa\lambda,\ \lambda\mu,\ \kappa\lambda\mu \notin \{\pm q^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For all $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$M_k(d)$$

denote the $\mathbb{F}$-subspace of $M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda) \otimes M(\mu)$ spanned by the simultaneous eigenvectors of $\Delta_2(K)$ and $\Delta_2(\Lambda)$ associated with eigenvalues $\kappa\lambda\mu q^{-2(d+k)}$ and $\kappa\lambda\mu q^{1-2d} + \kappa^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\mu^{-1} q^{2d-1}$, respectively. Then the following (i)–(iv) *hold:*

(i) $M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda) \otimes M(\mu) = \displaystyle\bigoplus_{d,k \in \mathbb{N}} M_k(d).$

(ii) *For all $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $M_k(d)$ is a $(d+1)$-dimensional irreducible $\triangle_q$-module isomorphic to $V_d(a, b, c)$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \kappa^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{d-1}, \\ b &= \lambda^{-1}\mu^{-1}q^{d-1}, \\ c &= \mu^{-1}\kappa^{-1}q^{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *For all $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ the action of A, B on $M_k(d)$ is as a Leonard pair.*

(iv) *For all $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following are equivalent:*

(a) *A, B, C act on $M_k(d)$ as a Leonard triple.*
(b) *B, C act on $M_k(d)$ as a Leonard pair.*
(c) *C, A act on $M_k(d)$ as a Leonard pair.*
(d) *C is diagonalizable on $M_k(d)$.*
(e) *$\mu\kappa \notin \{\pm q^n \,|\, 0 \leq n \leq 2d-2\}$.*

Proof. Since q is not a root of unity and κ, λ, $\kappa\lambda$, $\kappa\lambda\mu \neq \pm q^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we may apply Proposition 5.2(i) to see that

$$u_k^{(h,d)} = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \begin{bmatrix} \kappa\lambda q^{-2h} & \mu & \kappa\lambda\mu q^{-2d} \\ i & j & k \end{bmatrix} m_i^{(\kappa,\lambda;h)} \otimes m_j^{(\mu)} \qquad \text{for all } d, h, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } 0 \leq h \leq d$$

are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda) \otimes M(\mu)$. Similarly the vectors

$$w_k^{(h,d)} = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \begin{bmatrix} \kappa & \lambda\mu q^{-2h} & \kappa\lambda\mu q^{-2d} \\ i & j & k \end{bmatrix} m_i^{(\kappa)} \otimes m_j^{(\lambda,\mu;h)} \qquad \text{for all } d, h, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } 0 \leq h \leq d$$

are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda) \otimes M(\mu)$. Observe that $\{u_k^{(h,d)}\}_{h=0}^d$ and $\{w_k^{(h,d)}\}_{h=0}^d$ are contained in $M_k(d)$ for all $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$M(\kappa) \otimes M(\lambda) \otimes M(\mu) = \sum_{d,k\in\mathbb{N}} M_k(d).$$

Since q is not a root of unity and $\kappa\lambda\mu \neq \pm q^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sum in the right-hand side is a direct sum. Therefore (i) follows. Moreover we have

Lemma 5.5. *For all $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following* (i), (ii) *hold:*

(i) *$\{u_k^{(h,d)}\}_{h=0}^d$ are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $M_k(d)$.*
(ii) *$\{w_k^{(h,d)}\}_{h=0}^d$ are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $M_k(d)$.*

Now fix $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall from Theorem 4.1 the images of A, B, α, β, γ under $\flat$. The $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $M_k(d)$ is invariant under α, β, γ by Lemma 2.7 and invariant under A, B by Lemma 5.5. Therefore $M_k(d)$ is a $\triangle_q$-module by Lemma 3.2. More precisely, we have the following results:

Lemma 5.6. *For all $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following* (i)–(iii) *hold:*

(i) *For $0 \leq h \leq d$ the vector $u_k^{(h,d)}$ is an eigenvector of A associated with eigenvalue*

$$\kappa\lambda q^{1-2h} + \kappa^{-1}\lambda^{-1}q^{2h-1}. \tag{31}$$

(ii) *For $0 \leq h \leq d$ the vector $w_k^{(h,d)}$ is an eigenvector of B associated with eigenvalue*

$$\lambda\mu q^{1-2h} + \lambda^{-1}\mu^{-1}q^{2h-1}. \tag{32}$$

(iii) *The elements α, β, γ act on $M_k(d)$ as scalar multiplications by*

$$(\kappa q + \kappa^{-1}q^{-1})(\lambda q + \lambda^{-1}q^{-1}) + (\mu q + \mu^{-1}q^{-1})(\kappa\lambda\mu q^{1-2d} + \kappa^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\mu^{-1}q^{2d-1}), \tag{33}$$

$$(\lambda q + \lambda^{-1}q^{-1})(\mu q + \mu^{-1}q^{-1}) + (\kappa q + \kappa^{-1}q^{-1})(\kappa\lambda\mu q^{1-2d} + \kappa^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\mu^{-1}q^{2d-1}), \tag{34}$$

$$(\mu q + \mu^{-1}q^{-1})(\kappa q + \kappa^{-1}q^{-1}) + (\lambda q + \lambda^{-1}q^{-1})(\kappa\lambda\mu q^{1-2d} + \kappa^{-1}\lambda^{-1}\mu^{-1}q^{2d-1}), \tag{35}$$

respectively.

By Proposition 5.2(ii) we have

$$\Delta_2(F)^k u_0^{(h,d)} = [1][2]\cdots[k]u_k^{(h,d)} \qquad (0 \le h \le d).$$

By Lemma 5.5(i) and since q is not a root of unity the above equation induces an $\mathbb{F}$-linear isomorphism from $M_0(d)$ onto $M_k(d)$. By Corollary 4.6 each element of $\triangle_q^\flat$ commutes with $\Delta_2(F)$. Hence the induced $\mathbb{F}$-linear isomorphism $M_0(d) \to M_k(d)$ is a $\triangle_q$-module isomorphism. By the above comment, we may consider the $\triangle_q$-module

$$V = M_0(d)$$

instead of $M_k(d)$. Let a, b, c be as in the statement (ii). Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $\{\varphi_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and ω, ω^*, ω^ε denote the corresponding scalars defined in §3.2 with $\nu = q^d$. It is straightforward to check that θ_h, θ_h^*, ω, ω^*, ω^ε coincide with (31)–(35) respectively. By Lemmas 5.5(i) and 5.6(i) the element A is diagonalizable on V with eigenvalues $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$. Hence the characteristic polynomial of A on V is $\prod_{i=0}^{d}(X-\theta_i)$. By Lemma 5.6(ii) we have

$$Bw_0^{(0,d)} = \theta_0^* w_0^{(0,d)}. \tag{36}$$

Also, it follows from Lemma 5.6(iii) that

$$\alpha w_0^{(0,d)} = \omega w_0^{(0,d)}, \qquad \beta w_0^{(0,d)} = \omega^* w_0^{(0,d)}, \qquad \gamma w_0^{(0,d)} = \omega^\varepsilon w_0^{(0,d)}.$$

To apply Proposition 3.4 it remains to verify that

$$(B-\theta_1^*)(A-\theta_0)w_0^{(0,d)} = \varphi_1 w_0^{(0,d)}. \tag{37}$$

To check (37) we consider the $\mathbb{F}$-basis

$$m_i^{(\kappa)} \otimes m_j^{(\lambda)} \otimes m_k^{(\mu)} \qquad i,j,k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{38}$$

of $M(\kappa)\otimes M(\lambda)\otimes M(\mu)$. For all $0 \le h \le d$ and $i,j,k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $c_h(i,j,k)$ denote the coefficient of $m_i^{(\kappa)} \otimes m_j^{(\lambda)} \otimes m_k^{(\mu)}$ in $w_0^{(h,d)}$ with respect to (38). By the construction of $w_0^{(h,d)}$ the coefficient

$$c_h(i,j,k) = \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}} \begin{bmatrix} \kappa & \lambda\mu q^{-2h} & \kappa\lambda\mu q^{-2d} \\ i & \ell & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & \mu & \lambda\mu q^{-2h} \\ j & k & \ell \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $0 \le h \le d$ and $i,j,k \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying the expression of $A^\flat$ given in Lemma 4.4 the coefficient of $m_d^{(\kappa)} \otimes m_0^{(\lambda)} \otimes m_0^{(\mu)}$ in $Aw_0^{(0,d)}$ with respect to (38) is equal to $a_0 \cdot c_0(d,0,0) + a_1 \cdot c_0(d-1,1,0)$ where

$$a_0 = \lambda^{-1}(\kappa q + \kappa^{-1}q^{-1}) + \kappa q^{1-2d}(\lambda - \lambda^{-1}),$$
$$a_1 = \kappa\lambda^{-1}q^{2(1-d)}(\lambda-\lambda^{-1})(q^d - q^{-d}).$$

Here $c_0(d-1,1,0)$ is interpreted as an indeterminate if $d=0$. By Proposition 5.3 the scalars

$$c_0(d,0,0) = (-1)^d \kappa^d q^{d(1-d)}, \qquad c_h(d,0,0) = 0 \qquad (1 \le h \le d),$$
$$c_0(d-1,1,0) = (-1)^{d-1}\kappa^{d-1}\mu^{-1}q^{-(d-1)(d-2)}\frac{\kappa q^{1-d} - \kappa^{-1}q^{d-1}}{\lambda\mu - \lambda^{-1}\mu^{-1}} \qquad \text{if } d \ge 1.$$

Comparing the coefficient of $m_d^{(\kappa)} \otimes m_0^{(\lambda)} \otimes m_0^{(\mu)}$ in $w_0^{(0,d)}$ and $(A-\theta_0)w_0^{(0,d)}$yields that the coefficient of $w_0^{(0,d)}$ in $(A-\theta_0)w_0^{(0,d)}$ with respect to the $\mathbb{F}$-basis $\{w_0^{(h,d)}\}_{h=0}^d$ of V is equal to

$$a_0 - \theta_0 + a_1\frac{c_0(d-1,1,0)}{c_0(d,0,0)}. \tag{39}$$

On the other hand, we apply $w_0^{(0,d)}$ to either side of the second relation shown in Lemma 3.2. Simplifying the resulting equation by using (36) we obtain that

$$(B-\theta_{-1}^*)(B-\theta_0^*)(B-\theta_1^*)Aw_0^{(0,d)} = 0. \tag{40}$$

Since q is not a root of unity and $\lambda\mu \neq \pm q^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the scalars $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ are mutually distinct and $\theta_{-1}^* \neq \theta_i^*$ for all $2 \leq i \leq d$. Combined with Lemmas 5.5(ii) and 5.6(ii) the element B is diagonalizable on V with simple eigenvalues $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$. Therefore $B-\theta_{-1}^*$ can be dropped from (40) even if $\theta_{-1}^* = \theta_0^*$ or $\theta_{-1}^* = \theta_1^*$. It follows from (36) that

$$(B-\theta_1^*)Aw_0^{(0,d)} \in \mathbb{F}w_0^{(0,d)}.$$

Hence the left-hand side of (37) is a scalar multiple of $w_0^{(0,d)}$ by the scalar (39) times $\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*$. To see (37) it is now routine to check that φ_1 coincides with the aforementioned scalar.

Thanks to Proposition 3.4 there exists a unique $\triangle_q$-module homomorphism $V_d(a,b,c) \to V$ that sends v_0 to $w_0^{(0,d)}$. By the assumptions on κ, λ, μ, q the scalars a, b, c, q satisfy Lemma 3.5(i), (ii). Therefore the $\triangle_q$-module $V_d(a,b,c)$ is irreducible and the above $\triangle_q$-module homomorphism $V_d(a,b,c) \to V$ is injective. Since V and $V_d(a,b,c)$ have the same dimension $d+1$ over $\mathbb{F}$ the $\triangle_q$-module V is isomorphic to $V_d(a,b,c)$. Therefore (ii) holds.

We have seen that A and B are diagonalizable on V. Therefore (iii) is immediate from Lemma 3.6. Using Lemma 3.7 it is routine to verify (iv). The theorem follows. □

5.3. **Coupled bases of tensor products of finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules.** Recall from §2.3 the finite-dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules $V(n,\varepsilon)$ and the action of K, F, E on $V(n,\varepsilon)$ with respect to the $\mathbb{F}$-basis $\{v_i^{(n,\varepsilon)}\}_{i=0}^n$ of $V(n,\varepsilon)$.

Observe that the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $V(n,-1)$ is isomorphic to $V(0,-1) \otimes V(n,1)$ and $V(n,1) \otimes V(0,-1)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, it is enough to consider the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules

$$V(n) = V(n,1) \qquad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For notational convenience, we write $v_i^{(n)} = v_i^{(n,1)}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$. The proposition below states a similar result to Proposition 5.2. For a proof please refer to [7, §VII.7].

Proposition 5.7. *Assume that q is not a root of unity. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For all integers h with $0 \leq h \leq \min\{m,n\}$ let*

$$\begin{aligned} v_0^{(m,n;h)} &= \sum_{i=0}^{h} (-1)^i q^{i(m-i+1)} \begin{bmatrix} m-i \\ h-i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ h-i \end{bmatrix}^{-1} v_i^{(m)} \otimes v_{h-i}^{(n)}, \\ v_k^{(m,n;h)} &= \frac{1}{[k]} \Delta(F) v_{k-1}^{(m,n;h)} \qquad (1 \leq k \leq m+n-2h). \end{aligned}$$

Then the following (i), (ii) *hold:*

(i) *The vectors*

$$v_k^{(m,n;h)} \qquad \text{for all } 0 \leq h \leq \min\{m,n\} \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq m+n-2h$$

are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $V(m) \otimes V(n)$.

(ii) *There exists a unique $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module isomorphism*

$$V(m) \otimes V(n) \quad \to \quad \bigoplus_{h=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} V(m+n-2h)$$

that sends $v_k^{(m,n;h)}$ to $v_k^{(m+n-2h)}$ for all $0 \leq h \leq \min\{m,n\}$ and $0 \leq k \leq m+n-2h$.

The $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $V(m) \otimes V(n)$ given in Proposition 5.7(i) is called the *coupled* $\mathbb{F}$*-basis* of $V(m) \otimes V(n)$. On the other hand, the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module $V(m) \otimes V(n)$ has the $\mathbb{F}$-basis

$$v_i^{(m)} \otimes v_j^{(n)} \qquad \text{for all } 0 \leq i \leq m \text{ and } 0 \leq j \leq n. \tag{41}$$

For all $h, i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq h \leq \min\{m, n\}$, $0 \leq i \leq m$, $0 \leq j \leq n$, $0 \leq k \leq m+n-2h$ let

$$\begin{bmatrix} m & n & m+n-2h \\ i & j & k \end{bmatrix}$$

denote the coefficient of $v_i^{(m)} \otimes v_j^{(n)}$ in $v_k^{(m,n;h)}$ with respect to the $\mathbb{F}$-basis (41) of $V(m) \otimes V(n)$. By a similar argument to Proposition 5.2 these coefficients can be expressed as below.

Proposition 5.8. *Assume that q is not a root of unity. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For all $h, i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq h \leq \min\{m, n\}$, $0 \leq i \leq m$, $0 \leq j \leq n$, $0 \leq k \leq m+n-2h$ the following* (i), (ii) *hold:*

(i) *If $h + k \neq i + j$ then* $\begin{bmatrix} m & n & m+n-2h \\ i & j & k \end{bmatrix} = 0$.

(ii) *If $h + k = i + j$ then* $\begin{bmatrix} m & n & m+n-2h \\ i & j & k \end{bmatrix}$ *is equal to*

$$q^{i(h+j-n)} \sum_{r=0}^{h} (-1)^{h-r} q^{(h-r)(m+n-2h-k+1)} \begin{bmatrix} m-h+r \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ h-r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$

5.4. **Three-fold tensor products of finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules.** In the final subsection we show an analogue of Theorem 5.4 for the finite-dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules $V(n)$. Although the proof idea is similar to that of Theorem 5.4, some places need nontrivial adjustments and hence a complete proof is included below.

Lemma 5.9. *Let m, n, p denote any three real numbers. For any real numbers h, ℓ the following* (i)–(iii) *are equivalent:*

(i) $0 \leq h \leq \min\{m, n\}$ *and* $0 \leq \ell - h \leq \min\{m + n - 2h, p\}$.
(ii) $\max\{0, \ell - p\} \leq h \leq \min\{m, n, \ell, m + n - \ell\}$.
(iii) $\ell - \min\{p, \ell\} \leq h \leq \min\{m, \ell\} + \min\{n, \ell\} - \ell$.

Proof. Rewriting the second inequality in (i) as $\ell - p \leq h \leq \min\{\ell, m + n - \ell\}$. Combining the inequality with the first inequality in (i) yields the equivalence of (i) and (ii). Since $\max\{0, \ell - p\} = \ell - \min\{p, \ell\}$ and $\min\{m, n, \ell, m + n - \ell\} = \min\{m, \ell\} + \min\{n, \ell\} - \ell$ the inequalities (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. □

Lemma 5.10. *Let ℓ, m, n, p denote any integers with $m, n, p \geq 0$. Then there exists an integer h satisfying* Lemma 5.9(i)–(iii) *if and only if one of the following* (i)–(iii) *holds:*

(i) $2\ell \leq \min\{m, \ell\} + \min\{n, \ell\} + \min\{p, \ell\}$.
(ii) $\max\{m + n + p - \ell, m + \ell, n + \ell, p + \ell, 2\ell\} \leq m + n + p$.
(iii) $0 \leq \ell \leq \min\left\{m + n, n + p, p + m, \frac{m+n+p}{2}\right\}$.

Proof. Since ℓ, m, n, p are integers, there exists an integer h satisfying Lemma 5.9(iii) if and only if (i) holds. Observe that $\min\{m, \ell\} + \min\{n, \ell\} + \min\{p, \ell\} - 2\ell$ is equal to

$$\min\{m, n, p, \ell, m + n - \ell, n + p - \ell, p + m - \ell, m + n + p - 2\ell\}.$$

Since m, n, p are nonnegative, it follows that (i) holds if and only if

$$\min\{\ell, m + n - \ell, n + p - \ell, p + m - \ell, m + n + p - 2\ell\} \geq 0.$$

The latter is equivalent to (ii). Therefore (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Rewriting (ii) as an inequality about ℓ we obtain (iii). Therefore (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. □

After all this preparation let us prove the last result of this paper.

Theorem 5.11. *Assume that q is not a root of unity. Let m, n, p denote any nonnegative integers. Let Σ denote the set consisting of all pairs (ℓ, k) of integers satisfying*

$$0 \leq \ell \leq \min\left\{m+n, n+p, p+m, \tfrac{m+n+p}{2}\right\}, \qquad 0 \leq k \leq m+n+p-2\ell.$$

For all $(\ell, k) \in \Sigma$ let

$$V_k(\ell)$$

denote the $\mathbb{F}$-vector subspace of $V(m) \otimes V(n) \otimes V(p)$ spanned by the simultaneous eigenvectors of $\Delta_2(K)$ and $\Delta_2(\Lambda)$ associated with eigenvalues $q^{m+n+p-2(k+\ell)}$ and $q^{m+n+p-2\ell+1} + q^{2\ell-m-n-p-1}$, respectively. Then the following (i)–(iii) *hold:*

(i) $V(m) \otimes V(n) \otimes V(p) = \bigoplus_{(\ell,k)\in\Sigma} V_k(\ell)$.

(ii) *For all $(\ell, k) \in \Sigma$ the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $V_k(\ell)$ is a finite-dimensional irreducible $\triangle_q$-module isomorphic to $V_d(a, b, c)$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} a &= q^{\min\{m,\ell\}+\min\{n,\ell\}-\min\{p,\ell\}-m-n-1}, \\ b &= q^{\min\{n,\ell\}+\min\{p,\ell\}-\min\{m,\ell\}-n-p-1}, \\ c &= q^{\min\{p,\ell\}+\min\{m,\ell\}-\min\{n,\ell\}-p-m-1}, \\ d &= \min\{m,\ell\} + \min\{n,\ell\} + \min\{p,\ell\} - 2\ell. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *For all $(\ell, k) \in \Sigma$ the action of A, B, C on $V_k(\ell)$ is as a Leonard triple.*

Proof. Since q is not a root of unity we may apply Proposition 5.7(i) to see that

$$u_k^{(h,\ell)} = \sum_{i=0}^{m+n-2h} \sum_{j=0}^{p} \begin{bmatrix} m+n-2h & p & m+n+p-2\ell \\ i & j & k \end{bmatrix} v_i^{(m,n;h)} \otimes v_j^{(p)}$$

for $0 \leq h \leq \min\{m, n\}$, $0 \leq \ell - h \leq \min\{m+n-2h, p\}$ and $0 \leq k \leq m+n+p-2\ell$ form an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $V(m) \otimes V(n) \otimes V(p)$. Similarly the vectors

$$w_k^{(h,\ell)} = \sum_{i=0}^{m+n-2h} \sum_{j=0}^{p} \begin{bmatrix} m & n+p-2h & m+n+p-2\ell \\ i & j & k \end{bmatrix} v_i^{(m)} \otimes v_j^{(n,p;h)}$$

for $0 \leq h \leq \min\{n, p\}$, $0 \leq \ell - h \leq \min\{n+p-2h, m\}$ and $0 \leq k \leq m+n+p-2\ell$ form an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $V(m) \otimes V(n) \otimes V(p)$. Rephrasing the ranges of h and ℓ via Lemma 5.9 it follows that

$$u_k^{(h,\ell)} \qquad \text{for all } \ell - \min\{p,\ell\} \leq h \leq \min\{m,\ell\} + \min\{n,\ell\} - \ell \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq m+n+p-2\ell$$

are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $V(m) \otimes V(n) \otimes V(p)$. Similarly the vectors

$$w_k^{(h,\ell)} \qquad \text{for all } \ell - \min\{m,\ell\} \leq h \leq \min\{n,\ell\} + \min\{p,\ell\} - \ell \text{ and } 0 \leq k \leq m+n+p-2\ell$$

are an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $V(m) \otimes V(n) \otimes V(p)$. Observe that for all $(\ell, k) \in \Sigma$ the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $V_k(\ell)$ contains

$$\begin{aligned} u_k^{(h,\ell)} &\qquad \text{for all } \ell - \min\{p,\ell\} \leq h \leq \min\{m,\ell\} + \min\{n,\ell\} - \ell, \\ w_k^{(h,\ell)} &\qquad \text{for all } \ell - \min\{m,\ell\} \leq h \leq \min\{n,\ell\} + \min\{p,\ell\} - \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the ranges of h and ℓ via Lemma 5.10 yields that

$$V(m) \otimes V(n) \otimes V(p) = \sum_{(\ell,k)\in\Sigma} V_k(\ell).$$

By the construction of $V_k(\ell)$ the sum in the right-hand side is a direct sum. Therefore (i) follows. Moreover we have

Lemma 5.12. *For all $(\ell, k) \in \Sigma$ the following* (i), (ii) *hold:*

(i) $u_k^{(h,\ell)}$ *for all* $\ell - \min\{p, \ell\} \leq h \leq \min\{m, \ell\} + \min\{n, \ell\} - \ell$ *are an* $\mathbb{F}$*-basis of* $V_k(\ell)$.

(ii) $w_k^{(h,\ell)}$ *for all* $\ell - \min\{m, \ell\} \leq h \leq \min\{n, \ell\} + \min\{p, \ell\} - \ell$ *are an* $\mathbb{F}$*-basis of* $V_k(\ell)$.

Now fix a pair $(\ell, k) \in \Sigma$. Recall from Theorem 4.1 the images of A, B, α, β, γ under $\flat$. The $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $V_k(\ell)$ is invariant under α, β, γ by Lemma 2.9 and invariant under A, B by Lemma 5.12. Therefore $V_k(\ell)$ is a $\triangle_q$-module by Lemma 3.2. More precisely, we have the following results:

Lemma 5.13. *For all $(\ell, k) \in \Sigma$ the following* (i)–(iii) *hold:*

(i) *For* $\ell - \min\{p, \ell\} \leq h \leq \min\{m, \ell\} + \min\{n, \ell\} - \ell$ *the vector* $u_k^{(h,\ell)}$ *is an eigenvector of* A *associated with eigenvalue*

$$q^{m+n-2h+1} + q^{2h-m-n-1}. \tag{42}$$

(ii) *For* $\ell - \min\{m, \ell\} \leq h \leq \min\{n, \ell\} + \min\{p, \ell\} - \ell$ *the vector* $w_k^{(h,\ell)}$ *is an eigenvector of* B *associated with eigenvalue*

$$q^{n+p-2h+1} + q^{2h-n-p-1}. \tag{43}$$

(iii) *The elements* α, β, γ *act on* $V_k(\ell)$ *as scalar multiplications by*

$$(q^{m+1} + q^{-m-1})(q^{n+1} + q^{-n-1}) + (q^{p+1} + q^{-p-1})(q^{m+n+p-2\ell+1} + q^{2\ell-m-n-p-1}), \tag{44}$$

$$(q^{n+1} + q^{-n-1})(q^{p+1} + q^{-p-1}) + (q^{m+1} + q^{-m-1})(q^{m+n+p-2\ell+1} + q^{2\ell-m-n-p-1}), \tag{45}$$

$$(q^{p+1} + q^{-p-1})(q^{m+1} + q^{-m-1}) + (q^{n+1} + q^{-n-1})(q^{m+n+p-2\ell+1} + q^{2\ell-m-n-p-1}), \tag{46}$$

respectively.

By Proposition 5.7(ii) we have

$$\Delta_2(F)^k u_0^{(h,\ell)} = [1][2]\cdots[k] u_k^{(h,\ell)}$$

for all $\ell - \min\{p, \ell\} \leq h \leq \min\{m, \ell\} + \min\{n, \ell\} - \ell$. By Lemma 5.12(i) and since q is not a root of unity the above equation induces an $\mathbb{F}$-linear isomorphism from $V_0(\ell)$ onto $V_k(\ell)$. By Corollary 4.6 each element of $\triangle_q^\flat$ commutes with $\Delta_2(F)$. Hence the induced $\mathbb{F}$-linear isomorphism $V_0(\ell) \to V_k(\ell)$ is a $\triangle_q$-module isomorphism. By the above comment we may consider the $\triangle_q$-module

$$V = V_0(\ell)$$

instead of $V_k(\ell)$. Let a, b, c, d be as in the statement (ii). Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $\{\varphi_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and ω, ω^*, ω^ε be the corresponding scalars defined in §3.2 with $\nu = q^d$. It is straightforward to check that $\theta_{h-\ell+\min\{p,\ell\}}$, $\theta^*_{h-\ell+\min\{m,\ell\}}$, ω, ω^*, ω^ε coincide with the scalars (42)–(46) respectively. By Lemma 5.13(i) the element A is diagonalizable on V with eigenvalues $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$. Hence the characteristic polynomial of A on V is equal to $\prod_{i=0}^{d}(X - \theta_i)$. For notational convenience we set

$$s = \ell - \min\{m, \ell\}, \qquad w_h = w_0^{(h,\ell)} \qquad (s \leq h \leq s + d).$$

By Lemma 5.13(ii) we have

$$Bw_s = \theta_0^* w_s. \tag{47}$$

Also, it follows from Lemma 5.13(iii) that

$$\alpha w_s = \omega w_s, \qquad \beta w_s = \omega^* w_s, \qquad \gamma w_s = \omega^\varepsilon w_s.$$

To apply Proposition 3.4 it remains to verify that

$$(B - \theta_1^*)(A - \theta_0) w_s = \varphi_1 w_s. \tag{48}$$

To check (48) we consider the $\mathbb{F}$-basis

$$v_i^{(m)} \otimes v_j^{(n)} \otimes v_k^{(p)} \qquad (0 \le i \le m,\, 0 \le j \le n,\, 0 \le k \le p) \tag{49}$$

of $V(m) \otimes V(n) \otimes V(p)$. For all $s \le h \le s+d$ and $0 \le i \le m$, $0 \le j \le n$, $0 \le k \le p$ let $c_h(i,j,k)$ denote the coefficient of $v_i^{(m)} \otimes v_j^{(n)} \otimes v_k^{(p)}$ in w_h with respect to (49). By the construction of w_h we have

$$c_h(i,j,k) = \sum_{r=0}^{n+p-2h} \begin{bmatrix} m & n+p-2h & m+n+p-2\ell \\ i & r & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n & p & n+p-2h \\ j & k & r \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $s \le h \le s+d$ and $0 \le i \le m$, $0 \le j \le n$, $0 \le k \le p$. Applying the expression of $A^\flat$ given in Lemma 4.4 the coefficient of $v_{\ell-s}^{(m)} \otimes v_0^{(n)} \otimes v_s^{(p)}$ in Aw_s with respect to (49) is $a_0 \cdot c_s(\ell-s,0,s) + a_1 \cdot c_s(\ell-s-1,1,s)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= q^{-n}(q^{m+1} + q^{-m-1}) + q^{m-2\ell+2s+1}(q^n - q^{-n}), \\ a_1 &= q^{m-n-2\ell+2s+2}(q^n - q^{-n})(q^{\ell-s} - q^{s-\ell}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $c_s(\ell-s-1,1,s)$ is interpreted as an indeterminate if $\ell = s$. By Proposition 5.8 we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_s(\ell-s,0,s) &= (-1)^{(\ell-s)} q^{(\ell-s)(m-\ell+s+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ s \end{bmatrix}^{-1}, \\ c_h(\ell-s,0,s) &= 0 \qquad (s+1 \le h \le s+d), \end{aligned}$$

and $c_s(\ell-s-1,1,s)$ is equal to

$$(-1)^{(\ell-s)} q^{(\ell-s-1)(m-\ell+s+2)} \frac{[m-\ell+s+1]}{[n+p-2s]} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ s \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \left(q^n \frac{[s][p-s+1]}{[n]} - q^{2s-p} \right)$$

if $\ell > s$. Comparing the coefficient of $v_{\ell-s}^{(m)} \otimes v_0^{(n)} \otimes v_s^{(p)}$ in w_s and $(A-\theta_0)w_s$ yields that the coefficient of w_s in $(A-\theta_0)w_s$ with respect to the $\mathbb{F}$-basis $\{w_h\}_{h=s}^{s+d}$ of V is equal to

$$a_0 - \theta_0 + a_1 \frac{c_s(\ell-s-1,1,s)}{c_s(\ell-s,0,s)}. \tag{50}$$

On the other hand, we apply w_s to either side of the second relation in Lemma 3.2. Simplifying the resulting equation by using (47) it follows that

$$(B-\theta_{-1}^*)(B-\theta_0^*)(B-\theta_1^*)Aw_s = 0. \tag{51}$$

Since q is not a root of unity the scalars $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=-1}^d$ are mutually distinct. Combined with Lemmas 5.12(ii) and 5.13(ii) the element B is diagonalizable on V with simple eigenvalues $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$. Therefore $B-\theta_{-1}^*$ can be dropped from (51). It follows from (47) that

$$(B-\theta_1^*)Aw_s \in \mathbb{F}w_s.$$

Hence the left-hand side of (48) is a scalar multiple of w_s by the scalar (50) times $\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*$. To see (48) it is now routine to check that φ_1 coincides with the aforementioned scalar.

Thanks to Proposition 3.4 there exists a unique $\triangle_q$-module homomorphism $V_d(a,b,c) \to V$ that sends v_0 to w_s. Since q is not a root of unity the scalars a, b, c, q satisfy Lemma 3.5(i), (ii). Therefore the $\triangle_q$-module $V_d(a,b,c)$ is irreducible and the above $\triangle_q$-module homomorphism $V_d(a,b,c) \to V$ is injective. Since both of $V_d(a,b,c)$ and V have the same dimension $d+1$ the $\triangle_q$-module V is isomorphic to $V_d(a,b,c)$. Therefore (ii) holds. By the assumption that q is not a root of unity again, the scalars a, b, c fit Lemma 3.7(iv). Therefore (iii) holds. The theorem follows. □

References

[1] B. Curtin. Modular Leonard triples. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 424:510–539, 2007.

[2] Y. Granovskiĭ and A. Zhedanov. Hidden symmetry of the Racah and Clebsch–Gordan problems for the quantum algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_q(2)$. *Journal of Group Theory in Physics*, 1:161–171, 1993.

[3] H. Huang. An algebra behind the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. In preparation.

[4] H. Huang. The classification of Leonard triples of QRacah type. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 436:1442–1472, 2012.

[5] H. Huang. Finite-dimensional irreducible modules of the universal Askey–Wilson algebra. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 340:959–984, 2015.

[6] J. C. Jantzen. *Lectures on quantum groups*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics 6. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

[7] C. Kassel. *Quantum groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 155. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[8] A. Klimyk and K. Schmudgen. *Quantum groups and their representations*. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[9] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 330:149–203, 2001.

[10] P. Terwilliger. The universal Askey–Wilson algebra. *SIGMA*, 7:Paper 069, 24 pages, 2011.

[11] P. Terwilliger and R. Vidunas. Leonard pairs and the Askey–Wilson relations. *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, 3:411–426, 2004.

[12] A. Zhedanov. "Hidden symmetry" of Askey–Wilson polynomials. *Teoreticheskaya i Matematicheskaya Fizika*, 89:190–204, 1991 (English transl.: *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 89:1146–1157, 1991).

Department of Mathematics, National Central University, Chung-Li 32001 Taiwan

E-mail address: hauwenh@math.ncu.edu.tw